UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

[X] A	NNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SEC	TION 13 or 15(d) OF THE SECUR	LITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
Fo	r the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004		
		or	
[] TI	RANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO S	SECTION 13 or 15(d) OF THE SEC	CURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
Fo	r the transition period from to		
		ommission File Number: 000-30269	
	PIX	ELWORKS, INC	
	(Exact n	ame of registrant as specified in its charter	
	Oregon (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)		91-1761992 (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)
	8100 SW Nyberg Road, Tualatin, OR Address of principal executive offices)	97062 (Registrant's zip code)	(503) 454-1750 (Registrant's telephone number, including area code)
	Securities re	egistered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the	Act:
		None	
	Securities re	egistered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the	Act:
		Common Stock	
during the	y check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period than the for the past 90 days.		
Yes [X] No	0[]		
	istrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or informat		ontained herein, and will not be contained, to the bes n Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this
Indicate by	y checkmark whether the Registrant is an accelerate	ed filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act	<u>t</u>).
Yes [X] No	0[]		
	market value of voting Common Stock held by not officers and directors are considered affiliates.	n-affiliates of the Registrant at June 30, 20	04: \$656,468,848. For purposes of this calculation,
Number of	shares of Common Stock outstanding at February 2	28, 2005: 46,436,657.	
	Do	cuments Incorporated by Reference	
Portions o	f the Registrant's proxy statement relating to its 200	05 Annual Shareholder's Meeting, to be fil	ed subsequently – Part III.

PIXELWORKS, INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

Item 1.BusinessItem 2.PropertiesItem 3.Legal Proceedings

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

Item 9A.Controls and ProceduresItem 9B.Other Information

PART III

Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

SIGNATURES

2.

PART I

Item 1. Business

Overview

We are a leading designer, developer and marketer of semiconductors and software for the advanced display industry, including advanced televisions, multimedia projectors and flat panel monitors. Our system-on-chip semiconductors provide the 'intelligence' for these new types of displays by processing and optimizing video and computer graphic signals to produce high-quality images. Many of the world's leading manufacturers of consumer electronics and computer display products utilize our technology to enhance image quality and ease of use of their products. Our goal is to provide all of the electronics necessary to process the signal along its entire path through the system in order to provide a turnkey solution for our customers.

The display industry is undergoing a transformation from displays using cathode ray tubes, or CRTs, which have been the predominant display technology for more than 60 years and operate using analog, or waveform, signals. A new generation of technologies are now available that utilize display screens comprised of a grid of thousands of tiny picture elements, or pixels, and operate digitally. Examples of these new types of displays include liquid crystal displays, plasma displays, micro-mirror devices and other advanced display technologies.

During the transition to digital display technology, the way signal processing integrated circuits, or ICs, are developed is shifting away from development by vertically integrated manufacturers toward development by third-party companies like Pixelworks. We provide our customers, including manufacturers, original equipment manufacturers, or OEMs, and systems integrators, with video and graphics processing solutions that enable them to deliver an advanced display system with industry-leading performance and features to market rapidly. By choosing this product development strategy, our customers reduce their research and development costs, thereby reducing the cost of the overall system. In addition, our customers can utilize a consistent design environment across multiple product lines.

Concurrent with the move to new pixilated displays, broadcasters and consumer electronics manufacturers are moving ahead with the introduction of video in digital formats, including the much-anticipated high-definition television, or HDTV. Current broadcast standards were also developed in the middle of the last century and were optimized for display on CRT televisions. The signals are formatted in low resolutions that do not provide sufficient visual information and do not look crisp when displayed on larger screens. The new digital television standards promise cleaner broadcast signals that can transmit a high-definition signal in widescreen format for a cinema-quality viewing experience.

We have a broad product line that uses proprietary technologies and advanced designs to address the requirements of the industry we serve. Our products range from single-purpose discrete ICs to system-on-chip ICs integrating a microprocessor, memory and image processing circuits that function as a computer on a single chip. Pixelworks has expanded its technology portfolio through internal developments, acquisitions and co-developments with business partners. In the future, we plan to introduce products that continue to integrate additional functionality and utilize more advanced processes in order to improve performance and lower product costs.

Advanced Display Industry

Pixelworks serves three fast-growing markets that are reshaping how business users and consumers interact with information and entertainment, including advanced televisions, multimedia projectors and flat panel monitors. The display industry's shift toward digital, fixed-pixel display technologies is creating a need for video signal processing electronics that achieve the necessary performance, in terms of image quality, ease of use and cost, to spur the transition to mainstream market adoption. These three markets are in different stages of maturity and they each have unique requirements and dynamics.

3

Advanced Television Market

While the television is a widely accepted technology worldwide, the transition to digital-based television is just beginning. According to a display industry analyst, in 2004 non-CRT televisions using digital display technologies, referred to as advanced televisions, surpassed for the first time the important inflection point of five percent penetration of the total television units out of the worldwide market of 164 million units. Advanced televisions sold 12.1 million units for a market share of 7.4 percent.

Looking ahead, the shift to televisions using digital display technology that would require digital video signal processing ICs is accelerating. The display technologies include liquid crystal displays, or LCDs, plasma display panels, or PDPs, and rear-projection televisions using LCDs, digital micro-mirror devices, or DMDs, and newer technology such as liquid crystal on silicon, or LCoS. In 2008, advanced televisions are projected to comprise more than one out of every four television units sold worldwide. While the entire television market is forecast to grow from 164 million units to more than 203 million units, a compound annual growth rate of 5.5 percent, the advanced television market totaled 12.1 million units in 2004 and is forecast to grow to 54.8 million units in 2008 for a compound annual growth rate of 46 percent.

Looking more closely at the growth forecasted a display industry analyst, two sub-categories will drive the adoption of advanced televisions as consumers choose thin-screen televisions for their homes. LCD televisions are expected to lead the transition among advanced televisions rising from 8.7 million units in 2004 to 36.7 million units in 2008, a compound annual growth rate of 43 percent. Plasma display televisions are expected to grow at a similar rate to LCD televisions, although at approximately one quarter of the overall units due to their higher average selling price based on their larger form factor. Plasma display televisions are estimated to grow from 2.0 million units in 2004 to 11.8 million units in 2008, a compound annual growth rate of 56 percent.

Rear-projection television, or RPTV, growth is projected to be modest between 2004 and 2008, growing from 5.7 million units to 7.5 million units for a compound annual growth rate of 7.2 percent. However, the underlying architecture of RPTV is shifting from older projection TVs using analog CRT technology to newer digital technologies. In 2004, approximately 26 percent of RPTVs are based on digital display technologies. That is expected to exceed 84 percent in 2008 with 6.3 million units, comprised of DMD, LCD and LCoS, for a combined compound annual growth rate of 43 percent.

Another market that has emerged for Pixelworks is CRT televisions that utilize our discrete semiconductors for advanced video signal processing to enhance the picture quality, most frequently to de-interlace incoming television signals for CRTs that display using progressive scanning. These types of televisions are referred to as advanced CRT televisions.

Market forecasts indicate that the advanced television market is poised for robust growth over the next several years. In addition to the introduction of new display technologies into the consumer electronics marketplace, two major trends are driving the growth of advanced televisions worldwide: the introduction of digital television standards and new entrants in television manufacturers.

The introduction of a new broadcast standard requiring the use of digital transmission rather than analog methods is an important transition in the industry. Digital television offers a clearer image than analog and enables the transmission of high-definition standards, a wide-screen format, less interference and new types of applications such as interactivity and data transmission. In the United States, the digital television standard is referred to as the ATSC format with similar broadcast standards being developed in Europe and in Japan called DVB and ARIB, respectively. Digital television standards are making significant in-roads into the consumer market. In the United States, a display industry analyst is predicting a 40 percent compound annual growth rate for the next five years from a base of 7.0 million units of digital televisions in 2004 growing to 27.1 million in 2008.

The second trend driving the growth of new entrants into the television market illustrates the shift in the supply chain from electronics developed by vertically integrated manufacturers toward those provided by third-party companies such as Pixelworks. With strong growth expected in advanced televisions, consumer electronics and PC manufacturers are converging on the television as the information and entertainment gateway. In addition,

4

regional manufacturers in Asia are attempting to gain a position in the market that further increases the competitive landscape.

Multimedia Projector Market

The multimedia projector market is continuing to mature with steady growth as prices continue to decline and models are becoming more targeted, including an emerging segment for consumers. In 2004, 3.5 million units were sold worldwide. A display industry analyst is forecasting that in 2008 the multimedia projector market will expand to 11.8 million units, for a compound annual growth rate of 35 percent.

Two digital display technologies are currently used in multimedia projectors. In 2004, approximately 60 percent of the market was using liquid crystal displays while the remainder was utilizing digital micro-mirror devices, according to a display industry analyst. Models range from larger units designed for installation, to ultra-portable devices weighing less than two and a half pounds for maximum portability.

The largest segment of the market serves professional users who use multimedia projectors to display presentation materials from PCs and for showing video presentations. Requirements for the professional market include portability, compatibility with multiple sources and features that ensure simple operation. While businesses will continue to purchase projectors, we expect the growth in the professional segment to come mainly from the education and government sectors.

One of the drivers for growth in the multimedia projector market is the emerging market for consumer projectors for home entertainment. Consumers are discovering that they can have a satisfying home cinema experience by investing in a sub-\$1,000 multimedia projector. In order to achieve attractive price targets, manufacturers are developing models using lower resolution displays, often with 800-by-600 pixel resolution, also known as SVGA which is an acronym for Super Video Graphics Array, and using lower cost liquid crystal displays. According to a display industry analyst, the consumer market for multimedia projectors was 393,000 units in 2004 with the segment growing to 1.5 million units in 2008 for a compound annual growth rate of 39 percent.

LCD Monitor Market

The desktop computer market has led the transition to digital display technologies with liquid crystal display monitors becoming available in 1997. Since that time, sales for LCD monitors have surged into the mainstream and are now the dominant desktop display technology. In 2004, a display industry analyst reported that 67.6 million LCD monitors were shipped worldwide, which comprised 51 percent of the desktop monitor market. Looking ahead, the display industry analyst forecasts that the growth will continue in coming years with 2008 shipments forecast to be 136.8 million units, a compound annual growth rate of 19.3 percent. According to the analyst's forecasts, LCD monitors are expected to comprise nearly 82 percent of the market at that time.

LCD monitors are segmented primarily by size and resolution, which is directly proportionate to average selling price. According to the display industry analyst, the greatest number of LCD monitor units sold in 2004 consisted of a 17-inch monitor at SXGA resolution, an acronym for Super Extended Graphics Array, which denotes that the screen consists of a matrix of 1,280-by-1,024 pixels. Larger monitor sizes with higher resolutions up to 1,600-by-1,200, referred to as Ultra Extended Graphics Array, or UXGA, are used in applications such as at engineering workstations and by graphics designers where users are working on very high-resolution images. UXGA resolution monitors currently comprise less than three percent of the LCD monitor units.

Our Products and Technologies

We design, develop and market ICs and software that optimize video and computer graphic signals for a wide variety of displays used in business and consumer markets, including advanced televisions, multimedia projectors and flat panel monitors. We have a broad product line that uses proprietary and licensed technologies and advanced designs to address the requirements of the industry we serve. Our products range from single-purpose discrete ICs to system-on-chip ICs integrating a microprocessor, memory and image processing circuits that function as a computer on a single IC.

4

As the advanced display industry has grown rapidly, we have expanded and adapted our products to meet the needs of manufacturers in terms of performance, cost and functionality. Our product development strategy is to take a systems-level approach, which means we design products from the manufacturers' viewpoint in terms of analyzing how we can integrate maximum functionality at a reasonable cost. We believe that by developing parts that anticipate the requirements of our target markets we will help accelerate the adoption of advanced display technologies.

Products

We currently have the following product categories in our portfolio:

ImageProcessor ICs. System-on-chip ICs include embedded microprocessors and digital signal processing circuitry that control the operations and signal processing within the advanced display system. ImageProcessor ICs are used in advanced televisions, multimedia projectors and LCD monitors. Semiconductors in this category include circuitry for advanced image scaling, aspect ratio conversion, color compensation, customizable on-screen display, automatic image optimization and control of the system operating system. ImageProcessor ICs can also include the following additional functions: advanced de-interlacing circuitry; digital keystone correction; an analog-to-digital controller, or ADC; a Digital Visual Interface, or DVI; and an LCD panel timing controller circuit, or TCON.

ImageProcessor ICs were our first product offerings and continue to form the core of our business in each of our markets. We have continued to design the architecture for optimal performance and manufactured the ICs on processes that align with our customers' requirements. Additionally, since our ImageProcessor ICs include the microprocessor for the entire system, we provide a complete software development environment and operating system that enables our customers to rapidly develop their products, customize the "look and feel" of their products, and provide a consistent software architecture across product lines and product categories. Our most recently developed ImageProcessor ICs, code-named Photopia, target the advanced television and digital projector markets. Photopia integrates our most advanced de-interlacing and color processing technologies.

We continue to strive for further integration and are introducing a family of ImageProcessor ICs that combine video decoding, analog-to-digital conversion, de-interlacing and image processing functions onto a single chip. This new line of "all-in-one" chips is a true single-chip solution that was created by integrating our Photopia architecture with our most advanced Video SignalProcessor technology. We have designed numerous variations to meet the function and price requirements of each of the advanced display markets that we serve.

Video SignalProcessor ICs. Integrated circuits in this category are discrete semiconductors that are companion chips for our ImageProcessor ICs and offer manufacturers more flexibility in their multimedia projection and advanced television system architectures. Video SignalProcessor ICs are most frequently used to pre-process video signals prior to sending them to an ImageProcessor IC. By offering these ICs, we can target specific needs in our markets and can perfect our technology developments prior to integrating the technology into our ImageProcessor ICs.

We currently produce two classes of Video SignalProcessor ICs. The first category is the IC family that performs de-interlacing. Currently, many video signals are delivered in an interlaced format which means that each frame of video is sent in two sequential fields comprised of first the odd-numbered rows and then the even-numbered. However, most advanced display technologies show fields in a single frame so the two separate fields must be reconstituted into a single frame of video information. This process presents many challenges and can introduce visual artifacts into the image, but our Video SignalProcessor ICs use patented de-interlacing technology to maximize video quality. We currently sell our de-interlacing Video SignalProcessor family into the advanced television market, including the advanced CRT segment, as well as the multimedia projector market.

Our second class of Video SignalProcessor ICs are signal interface chips that combine a multi-standard video decoder and analog-to-digital converter for processing current television formats and new digital television standards. Certain versions also include a DVI receiver. We currently offer our signal interfacing Video SignalProcessor family in the multimedia projector and advanced television markets.

6

MediaProcessor ICs. We have developed a new class of products to support the display of new digital television formats, including HDTV. The digital broadcast standards transmit data encoded using a signal compression format known as "MPEG," named for the Motion Picture Experts Group which established it. Our MediaProcessor ICs decode MPEG video streams and are central to building televisions to display the new digital standards. Our first-generation product, the PWM2000 MediaProcessor IC, resulted from our partnership with Toshiba. The PWM2000 chip provides a cost-effective, high quality solution for customers developing high definition televisions.

signal from the image processing electronics into a format which instructs each sub-pixel in the display as to the amount of light it should emit during each screen refresh, which is usually 60 times per second. We have developed a programmable timing controller technology that improves LCD performance by increasing response speed and contrast while also lowering system costs by replacing the purpose-built discrete ICs that are in use today.

Digital TV Production Reference Designs. In order to assist manufacturers in their development of advanced television designs, we pioneered the concept of our Digital TV Production Reference Designs. By rigorously studying market demands and evaluating systems for performance and cost, Pixelworks developed production-ready designs that an advanced television manufacturer can quickly implement based on our complete hardware and software solution.

As part of the Digital TV Production Reference Designs, we provide a complete circuit board using our ImageProcessor, MediaProcessor and Video SignalProcessor ICs as well as a system software architecture. Each system is able to be adapted to conform to standards in any geography that assists our customers in navigating the worldwide digital television standards, including features such as Closed Captioning, V-chip and Teletext.

Our Digital TV Production Reference Designs sell in low volumes, but help generate future business by serving as a catalyst for customer designs. We provide designs that contain varying levels of functionality in order to serve a range of digital TVs from the low-cost baseline models up to full-featured televisions.

Technologies

In order to offer targeted products, our semiconductors are designed with a flexible chip architecture that allows us to combine functional blocks of digital and mixed signal circuitry. Accordingly, we develop technologies that can be implemented across multiple product lines. Following is a description of selected technologies by target market.

Core Technologies for Advanced Displays

- Advanced Image Scaling and Shaping. Since advanced displays are typically fixed-pixel, digital display technologies, a constant challenge is to reconfigure incoming content in video or PC formats that does not match the display resolution. Pixelworks has developed innovative, industry leading image scaling technologies that intelligently enlarge or compress images for display in different resolutions or aspect ratios, which is the ratio of width to height of display screens. This technology is essential for interfacing fixed resolution digital displays to the wide range of inputs that are present in today's marketplace, including high-definition television, or HDTV. In addition, our image processing technology incorporates proprietary programmable image scaling capable of resizing images to fit a wide variety of aspect ratios.
- Adaptive Image Optimization. Our products must translate a broad range of signals in standard and non-standard formats. We use a proprietary image processing technique to identify the characteristics of an incoming signal and configure the system to produce the best possible image.
- Color Compensation Technology. Our sophisticated custom color compensation technology makes it possible to display consistent color images
 from video and computer graphics, which use very different color palettes, on different display devices. Our color processing technology
 compensates for variations

7

in the color performance of a display. Using our approach, any color can be addressed independently and adjusted without impacting other colors.

• Fully Customizable On-Screen Display. Our technology couples an integrated on-screen display controller with our industry-first development application. These technologies allow customers who are designing ImageProcessor semiconductors into their display products to quickly develop and implement their own unique user interfaces with up to 256 colors that can incorporate graphics and colorful icons in start-up displays and menus.

Advanced Television Technologies

We have a suite of technologies that are designed to serve the advanced television market which we call DNX – Digital Natural Expression TM. Pixelworks' DNX TM video processing technology dramatically improves the quality of video images by combining multiple enhancement techniques to deliver clear, natural-looking standard and high-definition video images. DNX technology utilizes sophisticated digital video processing to deliver a lifelike picture through a combination of techniques.

- DNX Motion-Adaptive De-Interlacing. We have developed a proprietary video processing technology to convert interlaced content into progressive content that virtually eliminates image artifacts such as stair-stepping, often referred to as 'jaggies,' that can occur with less sophisticated techniques. Our motion-adaptive de-interlacing is able to analyze the content and apply the most appropriate methods for both standard television formats and also high-definition television formats. In addition, DNX Motion-Adaptive De-Interlacing automatically recognizes when incoming signals were originally captured on film so that special methods are employed to display the content.
- DNX PixelBoostTM. A technology that improves pixel response to eliminate blurring in fast-motion video as seen on some LCD panels. Liquid crystal display pixels are not able to turn on and off as rapidly as pixels in CRTs, which results in blurry images when content contains quick movements. PixelBoost technology can compensate for this property of LCD panels by manipulating the content in a way that makes it display more crisply on the screen.
- DNX Rich Color Processing. A technology that renders more than one billion colors with 10-bit color processing and also optimizes content appearance for various display technologies. While several companies have developed and sold 10-bit processing using expensive proprietary solutions, we believe Pixelworks is the only supplier of a commercially available true 10-bit processing solution.
- DNX Video Enhancement Processing. Most content has been encoded in order to enhance its appearance on CRT-based televisions which makes it appear unnatural when displayed on LCDs, DMDs or plasma displays. Our DNX Video Enhancement Processing enables manufacturers to apply filters that compensate for the signals in order to produce sharp, rich picture quality.

• DNX Noise Reduction. Digital displays often appear to create movement where none exists because pixels flicker in areas where there is no motion, creating a distracting shimmering effect. This is referred to as 'noise.' We have developed proprietary technology that minimizes noise for a stable, accurate video image.

Other key video technologies include:

• SteadySyncTM Weak Signal Compatibility. In many parts of the world, television viewers still receive their content via over-the-air broadcast. Our SteadySync technology is able to compensate for broadcast signals that are weak by being able to better lock onto a signal and display a picture. This technology helps users in under-served regions to better receive television broadcasts, which is attractive for manufacturers serving developing countries.

8

• Intelligent Windowing. Intelligent windowing offers consumers control over how they view multiple content simultaneously. Our ImageProcessor ICs for advanced televisions are capable of displaying video and computer content in various, user-controlled formats such as side-by-side, Picture-In-Picture, or PIP, and Picture-On-Picture, or POP, where as many as 12 images from various other sources or channels can be monitored while watching a primary viewing window. Our Intelligent Windowing delivers additional flexibility with adjustable transparency and user-controlled resizing of windows.

Multimedia Projector Technologies

Digital Keystone Correction. We pioneered digital keystone correction technology and it is now established as a key feature on multimedia projectors. When projecting an image, if the digital projector is not perpendicular to the surface on which it is shining the image, the image will be distorted. Our digital keystone correction modifies the geometry of the image in our ImageProcessor IC so that it will appear that the image is 'squared up,' which allows a projector to be placed virtually anywhere in the room. Our ICs have the ability to adjust the image both vertically and horizontally. With our CornerKlickTM feature, a user can simply correct the image using our unique user interface.

LCD Panel Technologies

• Smart Timing Controllers. Typically, every LCD module requires a specific IC called a timing controller, or TCON, that is a purpose-built, discrete component with the function of signaling the LCD module when to turn the pixels on and off. We have led the development of a new type of TCON that is programmable so it is able to work with most LCD modules. LCD manufacturers benefit by no longer having to design and build a unique component for each module. Additionally, we implemented new signal processing techniques that enhance pixel response times and contrast ratios.

Future Developments

Pixelworks has continued to expand its technology portfolio through internal developments, acquisitions, co-developments with business partners, licensing and through selling of joint reference designs. In the future, we plan to introduce products and technologies that will enable us to provide the electronics solution for our customers for the entire signal path of an advanced display.

Customers, Sales and Marketing

We have achieved design wins with global leaders in the business computing and consumer electronics markets. The key elements of our sales and marketing strategy are to achieve design wins with industry leading branded manufacturers in targeted markets and to continue building strong customer-supplier relationships. Once a design win has been achieved, sales and marketing efforts are focused on building long-term mutually beneficial business relationships with our customers by providing superior technology and reducing their costs, which complements our customers' product development objectives and meets their expectations for price-performance and time to market. Marketing efforts are focused on building market-leading brand awareness and preference for our semiconductors.

Our global distribution channel is multi-tiered and involves:

• Distributors. Distributors are resellers in local markets who provide pre- and post-sales support and stock our semiconductors in direct relation to specific manufacturing customer orders. Sales to distributors accounted for 69%, 69% and 68% of total revenue for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

Our largest distributor, Tokyo Electron Device, or TED, is located in Japan. TED represented 31%, 39% and 45% of our total revenue for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively, and accounted for 26% and 20% of our total accounts receivable at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively. Revenue through TED to a single end customer, Seiko Epson Corporation, accounted for 8%, 7% and 10% of total revenue for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

9

No other single end customers have accounted for more than 10% of total revenue during the three years ended December 31, 2004.

Neoview, located in Taiwan, has historically been our second largest distributor. Neoview represented 13%, 16% and 12% of total revenue for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively, and 8% and 33% of our total accounts receivable at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively. Effective February 4, 2005, we terminated our distributor relationship with Neoview and we now sell products directly to Taiwanese customers previously served by Neoview as well as through our other Taiwanese distributors.

We also have distributor relationships in China and Europe.

- Direct Relationships. We have established direct relationships with companies that manufacture advanced display systems. Some of our direct relationships are supported by manufacturers' representatives, which are independent sales agents who represent us in local markets and provide pre- and post-sales support but do not carry inventory. Revenue through direct relationships accounted for 31%, 31% and 32% of total revenue for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively. We have identified three classifications of direct relationships as follows:
 - o Integrators. Integrators are OEM customers who build display devices based on specifications provided by branded manufacturers.
 - o *Branded Manufacturers*. Branded manufacturers are globally recognized manufacturers who develop display device specifications, manufacture, market and distribute display devices either directly or through resellers to end-users.
 - o *Branded Suppliers*. Branded suppliers are globally recognized suppliers who develop display device specifications and then source them from integrators, typically in Asia, and distribute them either directly or through resellers to end-users.

Looking ahead, we anticipate an increase in the percentage of revenue through direct relationships. An example of this transition is the termination of our distributor agreement with Neoview, our Taiwanese distributor, described previously. We expect this change to strengthen our relationships with our direct customers and to be cost effective.

Our sales and marketing team included 99 employees as of December 31, 2004. The sales and marketing team includes 63 field application engineers who provide technical expertise and assistance to manufacturing customers on final product development. We have sales, marketing and support personnel in the U.S., China, Taiwan, Japan and Korea.

Seasonality

Historically, our sales have been higher in the second half of the year, primarily due to holiday demand for consumer electronics including advanced televisions and flat panel monitors. Additionally, the multimedia projector market is subject to seasonality with higher shipments typically occurring in the fourth quarter. During 2004, however, our sales to the projector market decreased significantly which led to lower revenue in the second half of the year than the first half of the year. The decrease in projector sales was attributable to a general weakening of the projector market and to market share lost in the DLP (Digital Light Processing) sector of the projector market.

Geographic Concerns

Our global operations subject us to risks and difficulties associated with doing business outside the U.S. These risks include foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations, political and economic instability, reduced or limited protection of our intellectual property and increased transaction costs. Our global operations also increase the

10

difficulty of managing our distributors and manufacturers due to varying time zones, languages and business customs.

Financial information regarding our domestic and foreign operations is presented in Note 8 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

Backlog

Our sales are made pursuant to customer purchase orders for delivery of standard products. The quantity of products actually purchased by our customers, as well as shipment schedules, are subject to frequent revisions that reflect changes in both the customers' needs and in product availability. Our entire order backlog is cancelable, with a portion subject to cancellation fees. In light of industry practice and our own experience, we do not believe that backlog as of any particular date is indicative of future results.

Research and Development

Our internal research and development efforts are focused on the development of our semiconductors for the advanced television market, multimedia projector market and certain segments of the LCD monitor market. Our development efforts are focused on pursuing higher levels of integration and new features in order to extend our system-on-chip semiconductors and discrete ICs to provide our customers with electronics solutions, including software, service and support, that enable them to introduce market leading products. These higher levels of integration are designed to reduce components on circuit boards and help to lower final systems costs for our customers.

In addition to our 63 field applications engineers, on December 31, 2004, we had 179 engineers, technologists and scientists who are organized into the following functional groups: Integrated Circuit Design, Software Engineering, Video and Image Processing Engineering, Display Interface Engineering, Systems Engineering and Product and Test Engineering.

We have invested, and expect that we will continue to invest, significant resources in research and development activities. Our research and development expenses, inclusive of stock-based compensation, were \$30.6 million, \$27.4 million and \$29.0 million in 2004, 2003, and 2002, respectively.

Manufacturing

Our products require advanced semiconductor processing and packaging technologies. Within the semiconductor industry we are known as a "fabless" company, meaning that we do not fabricate the semiconductors that we design and develop, but instead rely on third parties to manufacture our products. We have IC foundry relationships with Infineon, Semiconductor Manufacturing International Corporation, or SMIC, Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Corporation, or TSMC, and Toshiba. This approach allows us to concentrate our resources on product design and development where we believe we have greater competitive advantages; however, as the estimated time for us to adapt a product's design to a particular contract manufacturer's process is at least four months, there is no readily available alternate supply for any specific product.

Intellectual Property

We rely on a combination of nondisclosure agreements and copyright, trademark and trade secret laws to protect the algorithms, design and architecture of our system-on-chip technology. As of February 2005, we held 15 patents and had 46 patent applications pending with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, which relate generally to improvements in the visual display of digital image data including, but not limited to, improvements in image scaling, image correction, automatic image optimization and video signal processing for digital displays.

We intend to seek patent protection for other significant technologies that we have already developed and expect to seek patent protection for future products as necessary. Any future patents may not be granted, and if granted, may be invalidated, circumvented, challenged or licensed to others.

1

To supplement the technologies that we develop internally, we have licensed rights to use intellectual properties held by third parties, and we may license additional technology rights in the future. If any of these agreements terminate, we would be required to exclude the licensed technology from our existing and future product lines.

The semiconductor industry is characterized by frequent litigation regarding patent and other intellectual property rights. We have indemnification obligations with respect to the infringement of third party intellectual property rights. There is no intellectual property litigation currently pending against us. However, we may, from time to time, receive notifications of claims that we may be infringing patents or other intellectual property rights owned by third parties. If it is necessary or desirable, we may seek licenses under those patents or intellectual property rights. However, we cannot be sure that licenses will be offered or that the terms of any offered licenses would be acceptable to us.

Competition

In general, the market for semiconductors is intensely competitive. Our market is characterized by rapid technological change, evolving industry standards, compressed product life cycles and declining average selling prices. We believe the principle factors impacting competition in our markets are levels of product integration, functional versatility provided by software, compliance with industry standards, time to market, cost, product performance, system design costs, intellectual property, customer relationships and reputation.

Our current products face competition from specialized display controller developers and in-house display control ICs designed by our customers and potential customers. Additionally, new, alternative display processing technologies and industry standards may emerge that directly compete with technologies that we offer.

We compete with specialized and diversified electronics and semiconductor companies that offer display processors or scaling components. Some of these include ATI, Genesis Microchip, I-Chips, ITE, Macronix, Mediatek, Media Reality Technologies, Micronas, MStar Semiconductor, Inc., Oplus, Realtek, Silicon Image, Silicon Optix, STMicroelectronics, Techwell, Topro, Trident, Trumpion, Weltrend, Zoran and other companies. Potential competitors may include diversified semiconductor manufacturers and the semiconductor divisions or affiliates of some of our customers, including Intel, Koninlijke Philips Electronics, LG Electronics, Matsushita Electric Industrial, Mitsubishi, National Semiconductor, NEC, nVidia, Samsung Electronics, Sanyo Electric Company, Sharp Corporation, Sony Corporation, Texas Instruments and Toshiba Corporation. In addition, start-up companies may seek to compete in our markets.

Employees

As of December 31, 2004, we had a total of 349 employees – 179 in engineering, 99 in sales and marketing, of which 63 are field application engineers and 36 are sales and marketing staff, 18 in operations and 53 in administration, including finance, information technology, human resources and general administration. Of these employees, 172 are in the United States. None of our employees are represented by a collective bargaining agreement, and we have never experienced a work stoppage. We consider our relationship with our employees to be good. Our future success will depend in large part on our ability to continue to attract, retain, and motivate highly skilled and qualified personnel.

Available Information

We file annual, quarterly and special reports, proxy statements and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as amended (Exchange Act). You can inspect and copy our reports, proxy statements and other information filed with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 450 Fifth Street, N.W. in Washington, D.C. 20549. Please call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information on the operation of the Public Reference Room. The SEC maintains an Internet Web site at http://www.sec.gov/ where you can obtain most of our SEC filings. In addition, you can inspect our reports, proxy materials and other information at the offices of the Nasdaq Stock Market at 1735 K Street NW, Washington D.C. 20006. We also make available free of charge through a link on our website at www.pixelworks.com our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form

12

8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act as soon as reasonably practicable after they are filed electronically with the SEC.

Item 2. Properties

We lease approximately 77,000 square feet in two buildings in Tualatin, Oregon, which house our corporate headquarters, and include engineering, operations, sales and marketing and administrative facilities. These leases expire at various dates through February 2009.

We lease approximately 9,000 square feet in Campbell, California and 12,000 square feet in Ontario, Canada. These facilities house research and development activities. Our Campbell lease expires in May 2006 and our Ontario lease expires in August 2008.

We lease approximately 64,000 square feet in three cities in China for purposes of sales and customer support and research and development. Our China leases expire at various dates through November 2006. We also lease approximately 19,000 square feet in two cities in Taiwan for purposes of sales and customer support and operations and logistics, and 4,000 square feet in Japan for purposes of sales and customer support. Our Taiwan leases expire at various dates through August 2007 and our Japan lease expires in January 2007.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

We are involved in litigation from time to time that is routine in nature and incidental to our business. We believe that the outcome of any such current litigation would not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

No matters were submitted to a vote of our security holders during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004.

13

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Our Common Stock is listed for trading on the Nasdaq Stock Market under the symbol "PXLW." The stock began trading on May 19, 2000. The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the highest and lowest sales prices of the common stock.

Fiscal 2004	High	Low
Fourth Quarter	\$12.80	\$10.11
Third Quarter	\$15.32	\$ 7.50
Second Quarter	\$20.74	\$14.61
First Quarter	\$17.93	\$10.95
Fiscal 2003	High	Low
Fiscal 2003 Fourth Quarter	#igh \$14.65	\$8.68
Fourth Quarter	\$14.65	\$8.68

As of February 28, 2005, there were 268 shareholders of record (excluding individual participants in securities positions listings), and the last per share sales price of the common stock on that date was \$9.52.

The payment of dividends is within the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend on our earnings, capital requirements and operating and financial condition, among other factors. To date, we have not declared any cash dividends and we currently expect to retain any earnings to finance the expansion and development of our business.

Information with respect to equity compensation plans is included in the 2005 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

14

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following selected financial data should be read in conjunction with Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation and Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

Statements of Operations Data

	Year Ended December 31,					
	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	
	(in thousands, except per share data)					
Revenue	\$176,211	\$140,921	\$102,641	\$ 90,808	\$ 52,593	
Cost of revenue	89,655	77,528	51,736	46,539	31,412	
Gross profit	86,556	63,393	50,905	44,269	21,181	
Operating expenses:						
Research and development	30,407	26,014	26,772	18,096	10,225	
Selling, general and administrative	27,281	22,465	18,823	16,373	9,708	
Merger related expenses	-	8,949	-	-	-	
Restructuring	-	5,049	-	-	-	
In-process research and development	-	-	24,342	32,400	-	
Stock-based compensation and amortization of goodwill and assembled						
workforce	839	3,530	3,214	24,403	2,157	
Patent settlement expense	_ _	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	4,078	
Total operating expenses	58,527	66,007	73,151	91,272	26,168	
Income (loss) from operations	28,029	(2,614)	(22,246)	(47,003)	(4,987)	
Interest and other income, net	1,742	1,177	2,275	4,444	4,420	
Income (loss) before income taxes	29,771	(1,437)	(19,971)	(42,559)	(567)	

Provision for (recovery of) income taxes	7,990	(907)	880	-	-
Net income (loss)	21,781	(530)	(20,851)	(42,559)	(567)
Preferred stock beneficial conversion feature	-	-	-	-	9,996
Accretion of preferred stock redemption preference	<u> </u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>	2,100
Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders	\$ 21,781	\$ (530)	\$ (20,851)	\$(42,559)	\$(12,663)
Net income (loss) per share:					
Basic	\$ 0.47	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.48)	\$ (1.05)	\$ (0.50)
Diluted	\$ 0.45	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.48)	\$ (1.05)	\$ (0.50)
Weighted average shares outstanding:					
Basic	46,673	45,337	43,397	40,662	25,573
Diluted	52,062	45,337	43,397	40,662	25,573
	15				

Balance Sheet Data

		December 31,					
	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000		
			(in thousands)				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 32,585	\$ 16,490	\$ 17,577	\$ 43,288	\$ 25,981		
Working capital	209,653	91,681	95,776	98,820	100,371		
Total assets	423,569	233,317	227,212	202,839	120,294		
Long-term obligations, net of current portion	150,365	100	-	-	-		
Total shareholders' equity	252,023	220,305	214,816	193,633	106,453		

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation

Forward-looking Statements

This Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation and other sections of this Report contain "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 that are based on current expectations, estimates, beliefs, assumptions and projections about our business. Words such as "expects," "anticipates," "intends," "plans," "believes," "seeks," "estimates" and variations of such words and similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve certain risks and uncertainties that are difficult to predict. Actual outcomes and results may differ materially from what is expressed or forecasted in such forward-looking statements due to numerous factors. Such factors include, but are not limited to, increased competition, continued adverse economic conditions in the U.S. and internationally, including adverse economic conditions in the specific markets for our products, adverse business conditions, failure to design, develop and manufacture new products, lack of success in technological advancements, lack of acceptance of new products, unexpected changes in the demand for our products and services, the inability to successfully manage inventory pricing pressures, failure to reduce costs or improve operating efficiencies, changes to and compliance with international laws and regulations, currency fluctuations and our ability to attract, hire and retain key and qualified employees. These forward-looking statements speak only as of the date on which they are made, and we do not undertake any obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. If we do update or correct one or more forward-looking statements, you should not conclude that we will make additional updates or corrections with respect thereto or with respect to other forward-looking statements.

(Dollars in thousands)

Overview

We are a leading designer, developer and marketer of semiconductors and software for the advanced display industry, including advanced televisions, multimedia projectors and flat panel monitors. Our system-on-chip semiconductors provide the 'intelligence' for these new types of displays by processing and optimizing video and computer graphic signals to produce high-quality and realistic images. Many of the world's leading manufacturers of consumer electronics and computer display products utilize our technology to enhance image quality and ease of use of their products. Our goal is to provide all of the electronics necessary to process the entire signal path in order to provide a turnkey solution for our customers.

We sell our products worldwide through a direct sales force and indirectly through distributors and manufacturers' representatives. We sell to distributors in Japan, Taiwan, China and Europe. Sales to distributors represented 69%, 69% and 68% of total revenue for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively. Manufacturers' representatives support some of our European and Korean sales.

Historically, significant portions of our revenue have been generated by sales to a relatively small number of end customers and distributors. Our top five end customers accounted for 33%, 35% and 41% of our total revenue for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively. End customers are customers that indirectly purchase our products through distributors and contract manufacturers as well as directly from us.

16

Significant portions of our products are sold overseas. Sales outside the U.S. accounted for approximately 99%, 99% and 98% of total revenue for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively. Our integrators, branded manufacturers and branded suppliers incorporate our products into systems that are sold worldwide. All of our revenue to date has been denominated in U.S. dollars.

Acquisitions

company. On September 6, 2002, we acquired the remaining equity interest in Jaldi in exchange for an undertaking to issue 1,731,099 shares of Pixelworks' common stock upon the exchange of Jaldi exchangeable shares plus the assumption of all outstanding Jaldi stock options. The acquisition was accounted for as an asset purchase and the results of Jaldi's operations are included in Pixelworks' financial statements beginning on September 6, 2002. We incurred a charge of \$20,142 in the third quarter of 2002 for purchased in-process research and development ("IPR&D") related to the acquisition. Jaldi had two products under development at the acquisition date contributing 70% and 30% of the total IPR&D value. As of the acquisition date, the development projects ranged from 70% to 90% complete. Since the date of the acquisition, both of the products in development have been completed.

On January 14, 2002, we acquired all of the outstanding shares of nDSP, Inc. ("nDSP"), a fabless semiconductor company, in exchange for 1,185,995 shares of Pixelworks' common stock. The acquisition was accounted for using the purchase method of accounting and the results of nDSP's operations are included in Pixelworks' financial statements beginning on January 14, 2002. We incurred a charge of \$4,200 in the first quarter of 2002 for IPR&D related to the acquisition. nDSP had three main product lines under development at the acquisition date, each contributing from 7% to 64% of the total IPR&D value. As of the acquisition date, the development projects ranged from 20% to 80% complete. Since the date of the acquisition, two of the products in development have been completed. Development of the third product was discontinued, however the video and de-interlacing technology from this product was integrated into another Pixelworks product.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based on our financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"). The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to product returns, warranty obligations, inventories, property and equipment, intangible assets, income taxes, litigation and other contingencies. We base our estimates on historical experience and various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

We believe the following critical accounting policies affect our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements:

Revenue Recognition. We recognize revenue in accordance with Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, Revenue Recognition. Accordingly, revenue is recognized when an authorized purchase order has been received, the sales price is fixed and determinable, title and risk of loss have transferred, collection of the resulting receivable is probable and product returns are reasonably estimable. This generally occurs upon shipment of the underlying merchandise.

Sales Returns and Allowances. Our customers do not have a stated right to return product other than under our warranty policy discussed below. As such, customer returns are accepted on a case-by-case basis as customer accommodations only. However, certain of our distributors have stock rotation provisions in their distributor agreements, which allow them to return 5-10% of the products purchased in the prior six months in exchange for products of equal value. Certain distributors also have price protection provisions in their agreements with us.

We record estimated reductions to gross profit for these sales returns and allowances in our reserve for sales returns and allowances. We update the balance in this reserve at each reporting period based on historical

17

experience. If actual returns and allowances increase, we may be required to recognize additional reductions to gross profit. Our reserve for sales returns and allowances totaled \$524 and \$202 at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Product Warranties. We warrant that our products will be free from defects in materials and workmanship for a period of twelve months from delivery. Warranty repairs are guaranteed for the remainder of the original warranty period. Our warranty is limited to repairing or replacing products, or refunding the purchase price.

We provide for the estimated cost of product warranties in our warranty reserve. We update the balance in this reserve based on historical experience at each reporting period. While we engage in extensive product quality programs and processes, which include actively monitoring and evaluating the quality of our suppliers, should actual product failure rates or product replacement costs differ from our estimates, revisions to the estimated warranty liability may be required. Our warranty reserve totaled \$419 and \$569 at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts. We offer credit to customers after careful examination of their creditworthiness. We maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses that may result from the inability of our customers to make required payments. We evaluate the balance in the allowance based on our historical write-off experience and the age of outstanding receivables at each reporting period. If the financial condition of our customers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, additional allowances may be required. The balance in our allowance for doubtful accounts was \$212 at December 31, 2004 and 2003 and bad debt expense for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 was \$0, \$0 and \$7, respectively.

Inventory Valuation. We record a reserve against our inventory for estimated obsolete, unmarketable, and otherwise impaired products by calculating the difference between the cost of inventory and the estimated market value based upon assumptions about future demand and market conditions. We review our inventory at the end of each reporting period for valuation issues. If actual market conditions are less favorable than those we projected at the time the reserve was recorded, additional inventory write-downs may be required. Our inventory valuation reserve totaled \$1,589 and \$1,942 at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Useful Lives and Recoverability of Equipment and Other Long-Lived Assets. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, we evaluate the remaining useful life and recoverability of equipment and other assets, including identifiable intangible assets with definite lives, whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. If there is an indicator of impairment, we prepare an estimate of future, undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use of each asset and its eventual disposition. If these cash flows are less than the carrying value of the asset, we adjust the carrying amount of the asset to its estimated fair value.

In 2004, we determined that certain licensed technology, tooling and software assets were permanently impaired. As a result, we recognized impairment charges of \$381 during the year ended December 31, 2004. In September 2003, we initiated a restructuring to better position the Company to compete in the advanced television market. The restructuring included the discontinuation of research and development efforts related to two products. As a result of these

actions, we determined that certain tangible and intangible assets related to the discontinued development efforts were permanently impaired because there were no alternate uses for them. The net book value of the impaired assets totaled \$3,927 at the discontinuation date, and this amount was recognized as part of the restructuring charge in the third quarter of 2003. We did not record any other impairment charges against equipment or other long-lived assets in 2004 or 2003. We recorded impairment charges of \$87 against equipment and software assets in 2002.

Goodwill. Goodwill is not amortized. Instead we test goodwill, which represents the excess of cost over the fair value of net assets acquired in business combinations, annually for impairment, and more frequently if events and circumstances indicate that it might be impaired. The impairment tests are performed in accordance with SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. Accordingly, an impairment loss is recognized to the extent that the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value. This determination is made at the reporting unit

18

level. We have assigned all goodwill to a single, enterprise-level reporting unit. The impairment test consists of two steps. First, we determine the fair value of the reporting unit. The fair value is then compared to its carrying amount. Second, if the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, an impairment loss is recognized for any excess of the carrying amount of the reporting unit's goodwill over the implied fair value of that goodwill. The implied fair value of goodwill is determined by allocating the fair value of the reporting unit in a manner similar to a purchase price allocation in accordance with SFAS No. 141, *Business Combinations*. The residual fair value after this allocation is the implied fair value of the reporting unit goodwill. We perform our annual impairment test in the first quarter of each year. We did not record any goodwill impairment charges in 2004, 2003 or 2002.

Income Taxes. Deferred income taxes are provided for temporary differences between the amount of assets and liabilities for financial and tax reporting purposes. We establish a valuation allowance in accordance with SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes, to reduce our deferred tax assets to the amount that is more likely than not to be realized. Should we determine that we will not be able to realize all or part of our net deferred tax asset in the future, an adjustment to the deferred tax asset would be charged to income in the period such determination was made. We recorded a valuation allowance of \$8,990 and \$13,452 as of December 31, 2004 and 2003.

Tax contingencies are recorded to address potential exposures involving tax positions we have taken that could be challenged by taxing authorities. These potential exposures result from the varying applications of statutes, rules, regulations and interpretations. Our tax contingencies contain assumptions based on past experiences and judgments about potential actions by taxing jurisdictions. The ultimate resolution of these matters may be greater or less than the amount that we have accrued.

19

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth certain financial data for periods indicated:

Year Ended December 31,

	2004		2003		2002	
	Dollars	% of Revenue	Dollars	% of Revenue	Dollars	% of Revenue
Revenue	\$176,211	100.0%	\$140,921	100.0%	\$102,641	100.0%
Cost of revenue	89,655	50.9	77,528	55.0	51,736	50.4
Gross profit	86,556	49.1	63,393	45.0	50,905	49.6
Operating expenses:						
Research and development	30,407	17.3	26,014	18.5	26,772	26.1
Selling, general and administrative	27,281	15.5	22,465	15.9	18,823	18.3
Merger related expenses	-	-	8,949	6.4	-	-
Restructuring	-	-	5,049	3.6	-	-
In-process research and development	-	-	-	-	24,342	23.7
Stock-based compensation and amortization of						
assembled workforce	839	0.5	3,530	2.5	3,214	3.1
Total operating expenses	58,527	33.2	66,007	46.8	73,151	71.3
Income (loss) from operations	28,029	15.9	(2,614)	(1.9)	(22,246)	(21.7)
Interest income	3,823	2.2	1,188	0.8	2,349	2.3
Interest expense	(1,609)	(0.9)	(11)	-	(74)	(0.1)
Amortization of debt issuance costs	(472)	(0.3)	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Interest income, net	1,742	1.0	1,177	0.8	2,275	2.2
Income (loss) before income taxes	29,771	16.9	(1,437)	(1.0)	(19,971)	(19.5)
Provision for (recovery of) income taxes	7,990	4.5	(907)	(0.6)	880	0.9
Net income (loss)	\$ 21,781	12.4%	\$ (530)	(0.4)%	\$ (20,851)	(20.3)%

Percentages may not add due to rounding.

Revenue

Revenue increased 25% in 2004 compared to 2003 and increased 37% in 2003 compared to 2002.

Changes in units shipped and average selling prices were as follows:

	2004 Compared to 2003	2003 Compared to 2002
Increase in total units sold	38%	79%
Decrease in average selling prices	9%	23%

The increase in units sold in 2004 compared to 2003 resulted primarily from the following:

- an approximately 121% increase in units shipped to advanced television manufacturers, which consisted primarily of shipments to LCD television, advanced CRT television and plasma display panel manufacturers; and
- an approximately 25% increase in units shipped to multimedia projector manufacturers, which was attributable to growth in the overall market.

20

The increase in units sold in 2003 compared to 2002 resulted primarily from the following:

- growth in the overall multimedia projector market, which was partially driven by the introduction of "sub-\$1,000" and "sub-\$800" projectors;
- growth in sales to advanced television manufacturers, which was attributable to an increase in shipments to LCD television, plasma display panel and advanced CRT manufacturers; and
- an increase in shipments to manufacturers of UXGA (Ultra Extended Graphics Array) resolution monitors and LCD smart panel manufacturers.

Over the last three years we have experienced a decrease in average selling prices primarily due to aggressive pricing competition in each of our markets. Average selling prices did not decrease as much from 2003 to 2004 as they did from 2002 to 2003 due to the mix of products sold.

Performance by Market

Revenue by market as a percentage of total revenue approximated the following amounts:

	2004	2003	2002
Multimedia projectors	45%	53%	57%
Advanced televisions	43%	27%	16%
LCD monitors	10%	18%	23%
Other	2%	2%	4%

The decrease in revenue in the multimedia projector market as a percent of total revenue from 2002 to 2004 is primarily attributable to the rapid increase in revenue from the advanced television market. In particular, while revenue to both the multimedia projector market and advanced television market increased from 2002 to 2004, revenue to the advanced television market increased at a faster rate than revenue to the multimedia projector market. The decrease in multimedia projector revenue as a percentage of total revenue from 2002 to 2004 is also partially attributable to a general weakening of the projector market and to market share lost in the DLP (Digital Light Processing) sector of the projector market.

The increase in revenue from the advanced television market as a percentage of total revenue was primarily attributable to increases in sales to LCD television manufacturers. Such revenue increased 456% from 2002 to 2003 and 137% from 2003 to 2004. Revenue from sales to LCD television manufacturers represented approximately 53%, 46% and 19% of total advanced television revenue for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

The declines in revenue in the LCD monitor market are primarily attributable to our decision to stop developing lower-end LCD monitor chips rather than any particular industry dynamics.

Revenue from markets other than multimedia projectors, advanced televisions, and LCD monitors is not expected to be significant in the near future.

Cost of sales and gross profit

Cost of sales includes purchased materials, assembly, test, labor and overhead, warranty, royalties, amortization of purchased developed technology and provisions for slow moving and obsolete inventory. Gross profit increased to 49.1% in 2004 from 45.0% in 2003 and decreased in 2003 from 49.6% in 2002.

The increase in gross profit in 2004 compared to 2003 resulted from higher material margin in all three of our markets. The increases in material margin resulted from a decrease in our average material costs and from a shift in the mix of products sold. The most significant margin improvement came in the LCD monitor market, where we focused on higher margin business.

21

The reduction in gross profit margin in 2003 compared to 2002 was due to an intensely competitive environment that resulted in average selling prices declining at a more rapid rate than average product costs.

Declines in gross profit margin are characteristic of our products and the markets we serve, and we expect this will occur again in the future, however we cannot predict when or how severe it will be. As a result, we actively seek ways to reduce our cost to manufacture our products.

Research and development

Research and development expense includes compensation and related costs for personnel, depreciation and amortization, fees for outside services and expensed equipment. Research and development expense, inclusive of stock-based compensation, was as follows:

	2004	2003	2002
Research and development expense, inclusive of stock-based compensation	\$ 30,629	\$ 27,382	\$ 28,996

Research and development expense, inclusive of stock-based compensation, increased \$3,247 from 2003 to 2004 primarily due to the following offsetting factors:

- \$1,810 increase in depreciation and amortization related to higher licensed technology and software purchases in 2004;
- \$1,233 increase in development related expenses including non-recurring engineering and outside services;
- \$409 increase in compensation related to an increase in headcount in research and development cost centers;
- \$387 increase in travel related expense;
- \$303 increase in loss on asset disposals due to write offs of licensed technology, software and tooling in 2004;
- \$183 increase in expensed equipment and software; and
- \$1,146 decrease in stock-based compensation, which is primarily attributable to our use of the accelerated method of expense recognition, under which more expense is recognized in earlier periods.

Research and development, inclusive of stock-based compensation, decreased \$1,614 from 2002 to 2003 primarily due to the following offsetting factors:

- \$1,006 decrease in development related expenses, including non-recurring engineering and outside services, primarily due to the timing of projects in process;
- \$856 decrease in stock-based compensation which was attributable to our use of the accelerated method of expense recognition, under which more
 expense is recognized in earlier periods;
- \$199 decrease in travel expense;
- \$143 decrease in recruiting expenses;
- \$579 increase in compensation primarily related to increased personnel from our September 2002 Jaldi acquisition; and
- \$200 increase in expensed equipment and software.

We expect our research and development expenditures to increase in future periods to support our continuing investment in new product development programs.

Selling, general and administrative

Selling, general and administrative expense includes compensation and related costs for personnel, travel, outside services, sales commissions, and overhead incurred in our sales, marketing, customer support, management, legal and other professional and administrative support functions. Selling, general and administrative expense, inclusive of stock-based compensation, was as follows:

	2004	2003	2002
Selling, general and administrative expense, inclusive of stock-based compensation	\$ 27,412	\$ 24,141	\$ 19,571

22

The \$3,271 increase in selling, general and administrative expense from 2003 to 2004 resulted primarily from the following offsetting factors:

- \$1,799 increase in compensation expense due to an increase in sales and marketing and administrative personnel required to support our growing revenue base and increased regulatory requirements;
- \$775 increase in outside services, including accounting and legal fees related to patent applications, restructuring corporate subsidiaries in China and consulting fees related to Sarbanes-Oxley compliance;
- \$416 increase in travel expense, primarily due to the increase in headcount and an increase in customer visits;
- \$299 increase in sales commissions due to higher revenue;
- \$256 increase in expensed equipment;
- \$253 increase in telephone and other communication charges;

- \$250 increase in depreciation and amortization;
- \$162 increase in recruiting expenses related to the increase in headcount;
- \$123 increase in investor relations expense;
- \$117 increase in rent expense;
- \$110 increase in bank fees; and
- \$1,545 decrease in stock-based compensation, which is attributable to our use of the accelerated method of expense recognition, under which more expense is recognized in earlier periods, and due to additional cost that was recognized in 2003 related to the modification of certain stock options.

The \$4,570 increase in 2003 compared to 2002 resulted primarily from the following factors:

- \$1,644 increase in compensation expense due to an increase in personnel primarily in our foreign offices;
- \$928 increase in stock-based compensation expense due to the modification of certain stock options;
- \$480 increase in insurance premiums due to higher directors and officers insurance premiums;
- \$487 increase in rent expense for additional leased building space to support the increase in employees;
- \$164 increase in sales commissions resulting from increased revenue;
- \$140 increase in outside services primarily for legal and accounting services; and
- \$112 increase in investor relations expense.

We expect our selling, general and administrative expenses to increase in future periods. The increases will result from higher sales-related and overhead costs that will be required to support a higher revenue base.

Merger related expenses

Merger related expenses totaling \$8,949 in 2003 represent costs related to our proposed merger with Genesis Microchip. The proposed merger was terminated August 5, 2003, and in the termination agreement, we agreed to pay a \$5,500 termination fee to Genesis Microchip. The fee was payable immediately and was expensed in the third quarter of 2003. Additional merger related expenses incurred consisted primarily of legal fees.

Restructuring

In September 2003, we initiated a restructuring to better position the Company to compete in the advanced television market. The restructuring included the discontinuation of all research and development efforts related to two products.

As a result of these actions, we determined that certain tangible and intangible assets related to the discontinued development efforts were permanently impaired. The net book value of the impaired assets totaled \$3,927 at the discontinuation date, and this amount was recognized as a restructuring expense in the third quarter of 2003.

23

In addition, we implemented a reduction in force in the fourth quarter of 2003. The terminated employees were granted one-time termination benefits. The total amount of these benefits was approximately \$916 and was expensed in the fourth quarter of 2003.

We also subleased approximately 4,000 square feet of our California office as a result of the restructuring. We included the present value of the difference between the future minimum lease payments and the non-cancelable sublease rentals in restructuring expense during the fourth quarter of 2003. This amount totaled \$188.

We did not incur any amounts related to this restructuring in 2004 and we did not have any material amounts accrued related to the restructuring at December 31, 2004 or December 31, 2003.

In-process research and development

In-process research and development expense totaling \$24,342 in 2002 represents the discounted future cash flows from research and development projects in progress, but not yet completed, at the time of our acquisitions of nDSP in January of 2002 and Jaldi in September 2002.

Stock-based compensation and amortization of assembled workforce

 $Detail\ of\ stock-based\ compensation\ and\ amortization\ of\ assembled\ work force\ is\ as\ follows:$

	2004	2003	2002
Stock-based compensation (1)	\$ 353	\$ 3,052	\$2,993
Amortization of assembled workforce	486	486	242
	\$ 839	\$ 3,538	\$3,235
(1) Includes amounts included in cost of sales of:	\$ -	\$ 8	\$ 21

We use the accelerated method of expense recognition for stock-based compensation. Under this method more expense is recognized in earlier periods. This led, in part, to the decrease in stock-based compensation from 2003 to 2004. The decrease was also attributable to additional expense recognized in 2003 for modifications that we made to certain stock options granted to employees who were terminated during our restructuring in the fourth quarter of 2003. The increase in stock-based compensation from 2002 to 2003 is also attributable to the modifications that were made in 2003.

We recorded an assembled workforce asset as a result of the Jaldi asset acquisition in September 2002. The assembled workforce asset is being amortized on a straight line basis over 36 months.

Interest income, net

Interest income, net includes interest income earned on cash equivalents and short and long-term marketable securities, interest expense related to our 1.75% convertible debentures and amortization of our debt issuance costs which have been capitalized and are included in long term assets on the balance sheet. The debt issuance costs are being amortized over seven years.

Interest income was \$3,823, \$1,188 and \$2,349 for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively. Interest expense was \$1,609, \$11 and \$74 for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively. Amortization of debt issuance costs was \$472 for the year ended December 31, 2004

Interest income increased from 2003 to 2004 due to the investment of the proceeds from the issuance of our convertible debentures. The decrease in interest income from 2002 to 2003 was attributable to lower yields on invested balances. The increase in interest expense in 2004 is attributable to the issuance of the 1.75% convertible debentures.

24

Provision for (recovery of) income taxes

We recorded income tax expense of \$7,990 for the year ended December 31, 2004. Tax expense is lower than the expected expense based on the statutory rates due to several permanent differences, but primarily relating to federal and state research and experimentation tax credits. The tax benefit of these items is partially offset by an increase in the federal tax rate from 34% to 35% along with contingent amounts established for penalties and interest associated with additional tax potentially due in foreign jurisdictions.

We recorded an income tax benefit of \$907 in 2003. The tax benefit exceeded the expected benefit based on the statutory rates due to several permanent differences including, but not limited to, federal and state research and experimentation tax credits, stock-based compensation expense and amortization of purchased developed technology. The tax benefit of these items is partially offset by an increase in the valuation allowance against net operating loss carry forwards at Jaldi, due to the implementation of a research and development contract between Pixelworks and the subsidiary.

We recorded a provision for income taxes in 2002 of \$880 despite the recognition of a pretax book loss. This was attributable to certain large non-cash expenses that were recognized for book purposes but were not deductible for tax purposes. Because these items were not tax deductible, we had taxable income in 2002. The large non-cash expenses that were not tax deductible included in-process research and development expense and amortization of purchased developed technology.

As of December 31, 2004, we had generated deductible temporary differences and operating loss and research and experimentation tax credit carry forwards. We have approximately \$14,764, \$24,254 and \$6,432 of operating loss carry forwards to offset future taxable income and approximately \$4,259, \$3,255 and \$500 of research and experimentation tax credit carry forwards to offset future tax for federal, state and foreign purposes, respectively. The carry forwards expire on various dates through 2024, if not used. Utilization of a portion of net operating losses and credits is subject to an annual limitation due to the ownership change provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and similar state provisions.

We have established a valuation allowance for certain deferred tax assets, including net operating loss and tax credit carryfowards. A valuation allowance is recorded when it is more likely than not that some portion of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. At December 31, 2004 we had \$6,598 of net deferred tax assets on our balance sheet. Accordingly, we may record additional allowances in the future. A portion of the benefit of the operating loss and tax credit carryforwards, when utilized, will result in a decrease to goodwill.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash and cash equivalents and short and long-term marketable securities

At December 31, 2004 we had cash and cash equivalents of \$32,585, short and long-term marketable securities of \$239,696 and working capital of \$209,653. Cash provided by operating activities during the year ended December 31, 2004 was \$27,561 compared to \$11,029 and \$6,680 for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

Cash used in investing activities during the year ended December 31, 2004 was \$161,745. This compares to \$15,053 used in investing activities during the year ended December 31, 2003 and \$33,846 used in investing activities during the year ended December 31, 2002. Cash used in investing activities during these three years consists primarily of cash used to purchase marketable securities, cash used to purchase property and equipment and other assets and investments, and payments on accrued liabilities related to equipment and other asset purchases. These expenditures are offset in part by maturities of marketable securities in all three years.

Cash provided by financing activities was \$150,279 for the year ended December 31, 2004. This compares to \$2,937 provided by financing activities during the year ended December 31, 2003 and \$1,455 provided by financing activities during the year ended December 31, 2002. Cash provided by financing activities during the year ended December 31, 2004 includes \$145,500 in net proceeds from the issuance of convertible subordinated debentures (see capital resources below). It also includes proceeds from the issuance of common stock from the

We anticipate that our existing cash and investment balances, along with cash expected to be generated from operations will be adequate to fund our operating and investing needs for the next twelve months and the foreseeable future. From time to time, we may evaluate acquisitions of businesses, products or technologies that compliment our business. Any such transactions, if consummated, may consume a material portion of our working capital or require the issuance of equity securities that may result in dilution to existing shareholders.

Accounts receivable, net

Accounts receivable, net increased to \$14,605 at December 31, 2004 from \$8,468 at December 31, 2003. Average days sales outstanding increased to 34 at December 31, 2004 compared to 19 at December 31, 2003. The increase in accounts receivable and days sales outstanding is attributable to a greater portion of shipments in the last month of 2004 as compared to the last month of 2003.

Inventories, net

Inventories, net increased to \$18,575 at December 31, 2004 from \$10,478 at December 31, 2003. Inventory turnover on an annualized basis decreased from approximately 8 at December 31, 2003 to approximately 4 at December 31, 2004. At December 31, 2004, this represents approximately thirteen weeks of inventory on hand. The increase in inventory and decrease in inventory turnover is primarily attributable to our lower than anticipated sales for the second half of 2004.

Capital resources

On May 18, 2004, we issued \$125,000 of convertible subordinated debentures ("the debentures") due 2024 in a private offering pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933 and outside of the United States in accordance with Regulation S under the Securities Act. On June 4, 2004, we issued an additional \$25,000 of debentures pursuant to the exercise of an option granted to the initial purchasers. We intend to use the net proceeds from this offering for general corporate purposes, including potential acquisitions. The debentures have been registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission for resale under the Securities Act of 1933.

The debentures bear interest at a rate of 1.75% per annum and interest is payable on May 15 and November 15 of each year, beginning November 15, 2004. The debentures are convertible, under certain circumstances, into our common stock at a conversion rate of 41.0627 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of debentures for a total of 6,159,405 shares. This is equivalent to a conversion price of approximately \$24.35 per share. The debentures are convertible if (a) during any calendar quarter, the market price of our common stock exceeds 130% of the conversion price per share for at least 20 trading days during the period of 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the previous calendar quarter, (b) the trading price of the debentures declines to less than 98% of the product of the closing sale price of our common stock and the number of shares issuable upon conversion of \$1,000 principal amount of the debentures for five consecutive trading days, (c) a call for redemption occurs, or (d) in the event of certain other corporate transactions. We may redeem some or all of the debentures for cash on or after May 15, 2011 at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the debentures plus accrued and unpaid interest. The holders of the debentures have the right to require us to purchase all or a portion of their debentures on May 15, 2011, May 15, 2014 and May 15, 2019 at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest.

Contractual Payment Obligations

A summary of our contractual commitments and obligations as of December 31,2004 is as follows:

26

	Payments Due By Period				
Contractual Obligation	Total	2005	2006 and 2007	2008 and 2009	2010 and Beyond
Long-term debt	\$150,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$150,000
Operating leases	6,635	3,220	2,750	665	-
Estimated Q1 2005 purchase commitments to contract manufacturers	20,482	20,482	-	-	-
Other long-term liabilities	172	-	172	-	-

The lease payments above are net of sublease rentals of \$95 and \$40 for the years ending December 31, 2005 and 2006.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a material current or future effect on our financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued SFAS No. 123 (Revised), *Share Based Payment*. This revision replaced the existing SFAS No. 123 to no longer allow public companies to apply the intrinsic value based method of accounting for stock compensation described in Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*. Under the revised statement, public entities must measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award. That cost must be recognized over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award (usually the vesting period). The statement also requires the recognition of compensation expense for the fair value of any unvested stock option awards outstanding at the date of adoption over the remaining vesting period. The statement may be adopted using a modified prospective or modified retrospective approach, and is effective for the first interim or annual reporting period beginning after June 15, 2005. While we are still in the process of determining how we will adopt the statement and what the impact will be on our financial statements, we do expect it to have an adverse effect on our consolidated statements of operations and earnings per share.

In December 2004, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. 109-2, Accounting and Disclosure Guidance for the Foreign Earnings Repatriation Provision within the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 ("FSP 109-2"). FSP 109-2 provides guidance for recording the potential impact of the repatriation provisions of the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 (the "Jobs Act") on an entity's income tax expense and deferred tax liability. FSP 109-2 states that an entity is allowed time beyond the financial reporting period of enactment to evaluate the effect of the Jobs Act on its plan for reinvestment or repatriation of foreign earnings for purposes of applying SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. The Jobs Act was enacted on October 22, 2004. While we have not yet

completed our evaluation of the impact of the provisions of FSP 109-2, we currently believe that it will not have a material impact on our financial statements. Accordingly, as provided for in FSP 109-2, we have not adjusted tax expense or recorded a deferred tax liability to reflect the repatriation provisions of the Jobs Act.

In November 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 151, Inventory Costs – an amendment of ARB 43, Chapter 4. This Statement amends the guidance in Accounting Research Bulletin No. 43, Chapter 4, Inventory Pricing ("ARB43") to clarify the accounting for abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs, and wasted material (spoilage). Paragraph 5 of ARB 43, Chapter 4, previously stated that "... under some circumstances, items such as idle facility expense, excessive spoilage, double freight, and rehandling costs may be so abnormal as to require treatment as current period charges...." This Statement requires that those items be recognized as current-period charges regardless of whether they meet the criterion of "so abnormal". In addition, this Statement requires that allocation of fixed production overheads to the costs of conversion be based on the normal capacity of the production facilities. The provisions of this statement are effective for inventory costs

27

incurred during fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005. We do not expect that adoption of this statement will have a material impact on our financial statements.

RISK FACTORS

Investing in our shares of common stock involves a high degree of risk. If any of the following risks occur, the market price of our shares of common stock could decline and investors could lose all or part of their investment.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR OPERATIONS

The year ended December 31, 2004 was our first year of annual profitability since inception and we may be unable to achieve profitability in future periods.

While we had \$21,781 of net income in 2004, our accumulated deficit is \$59,588 through December 31, 2004 and 2004 was our first year of annual profitability. In the future we expect our research and development and selling, general and administrative expenses to increase. Given expected increases in operating expenses, we must increase revenues and gross profit to remain profitable. We cannot be certain that we will achieve profitability in the future or, if we do, that we can sustain or increase profitability on a quarterly or annual basis. This may in turn cause the price of our common stock to decline. In addition, if we are not profitable in the future we may be unable to continue our operations.

Fluctuations in our quarterly operating results make it difficult to predict our future performance and may result in volatility in the market price of our common stock.

Our quarterly operating results have varied from quarter to quarter and are likely to vary in the future based on a number of factors related to our industry and the markets for our products. Some of these factors are not in our control and any of them may cause the price of our common stock to fluctuate. These factors include:

- demand for multimedia projectors, advanced televisions and LCD monitors;
- demand for our products and the timing of orders for our products;
- the deferral of customer orders in anticipation of new products or product enhancements from us or our competitors or due to a reduction in our end customers' demand;
- the loss of one or more of our key distributors or customers or a reduction, delay or cancellation of orders from one or more of these parties;
- changes in the available production capacity at the semiconductor fabrication foundries that manufacture our products and changes in the costs of manufacturing:
- our ability to provide adequate supplies of our products to customers and avoid excess inventory;
- announcement or introduction of products and technologies by our competitors;
- changes in product mix, product costs or pricing, or distribution channels; and
- general economic conditions and economic conditions specific to the advanced display and semiconductor markets.

These factors are difficult or impossible to forecast, and these or other factors could seriously harm our business. We anticipate the rate of new orders may vary significantly from quarter to quarter.

28

Our operating expenses and inventory levels are based on our expectations of future revenues and our operating expenses are relatively fixed in the short term. Consequently, if anticipated sales and shipments in any quarter do not occur when expected, operating expenses and inventory levels could be disproportionately high, and our operating results for that quarter and, potentially, future quarters may be negatively impacted. Any shortfall in our revenues would have a direct impact on our business. In addition, fluctuations in our quarterly results could adversely affect the price of our common stock in a manner unrelated to our long-term operating performance. Because our operating results are volatile and difficult to predict, you should not rely on the results of one quarter as an indication of our future performance. It is possible that in some future quarter our operating results will fall below the expectations of securities analysts and investors. In this event, the price of our common stock may decline significantly.

Our products are characterized by average selling prices that decline over relatively short time periods, which will negatively affect financial results unless we are able to reduce our product costs or introduce new products with higher average selling prices.

Average selling prices for our products decline over relatively short time periods while many of our product costs are fixed. When our average selling prices decline, our gross profits decline unless we are able to sell more units or reduce the cost to manufacture our products. Our operating results are negatively affected when revenue or gross profit margins decline. We have experienced these results and expect that we will continue to experience them in the future, although we cannot predict when they may occur or how severe they will be.

Our highly integrated products and high-speed mixed signal products are difficult to manufacture without defects and the existence of defects could result in an increase in our costs and delays in the availability of our products.

The manufacture of semiconductors is a complex process and it is often difficult for semiconductor foundries to produce semiconductors free of defects. Because many of our products are more highly integrated than other semiconductors and incorporate mixed analog and digital signal processing and embedded memory technology, they are even more difficult to produce without defects.

The ability to manufacture products of acceptable quality depends on both product design and manufacturing process technology. Since defective products can be caused by either design or manufacturing difficulties, identifying quality problems can occur only by analyzing and testing our semiconductors in a system after they have been manufactured. The difficulty in identifying defects is compounded because the process technology is unique to each of the multiple semiconductor foundries we contract with to manufacture our products. Failure to achieve defect-free products due to their increasing complexity may result in an increase in our cost and delays in the availability of our products. For example, we have experienced field failures of our ICs in certain customer system applications that required us to institute additional IC level testing. As a result of these field failures we incurred costs due to customers returning potentially affected products. Additionally, customers have experienced delays in receiving product shipments from us that resulted in the loss of revenue and profits. Shipment of defective products may also harm our reputation with customers.

If we do not achieve additional design wins in the future, our ability to grow would be seriously limited.

Our future success will depend on developers of advanced display products designing our products into their systems. To achieve design wins we must define and deliver cost-effective, innovative and integrated semiconductors. Once a supplier's products have been designed into a system, the developer may be reluctant to change its source of components due to the significant costs associated with qualifying a new supplier. Accordingly, the failure on our part to obtain additional design wins with leading branded manufacturers or integrators, and to successfully design, develop and introduce new products and product enhancements could harm our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Achieving a design win does not necessarily mean that a developer will order large volumes of our products. A design win is not a binding commitment by a developer to purchase our products. Rather, it is a decision by a developer to use our products in the design process of that developer's products. Developers can choose at any time to discontinue using our products in their designs or product development efforts. If our products are chosen to be

29

incorporated into a developer's products, we may still not realize significant revenues from that developer if that developer's products are not commercially successful or if that developer chooses to qualify a second source for the products that we promote.

Because of the complex nature of our semiconductor designs and of the associated manufacturing process and the rapid evolution of our customers' product designs, we may not be able to develop new products or product enhancements in a timely manner, which could decrease customer demand for our products and reduce our revenues.

The development of our semiconductors, some of which incorporate mixed analog and digital signal processing, is highly complex. These complexities require that we employ advanced designs and manufacturing processes that are unproven. We have experienced increased development time and delays in introducing new products that resulted in significantly less revenue than originally expected for those products. We will not always succeed in developing new products or product enhancements nor will we always do so in a timely manner. Acquisitions have significantly added to the complexity of our product development efforts. We must now coordinate very complex product development programs between multiple geographically dispersed locations.

Many of our designs involve the development of new high-speed analog circuits that are difficult to simulate and that require physical prototypes not required by the primarily digital circuits we currently design. The result could be longer and less predictable development cycles.

Successful development and timely introduction of new or enhanced products depends on a number of other factors, including:

- · accurate prediction of customer requirements and evolving industry standards, including digital interface and content piracy protection standards;
- development of advanced display technologies and capabilities;
- timely completion and introduction of new product designs;
- use of advanced foundry processes and achievement of high manufacturing yields; and
- market acceptance of the new products.

If we are not able to successfully develop and introduce our products in a timely manner, our business and results of operations will be adversely affected.

Integration of software in our products adds complexity and cost that may affect our ability to achieve design wins and may affect our profitability.

Our products incorporate software and software development tools. The integration of software adds complexity, may extend our internal development programs and could impact our customers' development schedules. This complexity requires increased coordination between hardware and software development schedules and may increase our operating expenses without a corresponding increase in product revenue. Some customers and potential customers may choose not to use our products because of the additional requirements of implementing our software, preferring to use a product that works with their existing software. This additional level of complexity lengthens the sales cycle and may result in customers selecting competitive products requiring less software integration.

A significant amount of our revenue comes from a few customers and distributors. Any decrease in revenues from, or loss of, any of these customers or distributors could significantly reduce our total revenues.

2002, respectively, and sales to Tokyo Electron Device Limited, or TED, our Japanese distributor, represented 31%, 39% and 45% of total revenue for the same periods. Sales to our top five end customers accounted for 33%, 35% and 41% of total revenue for 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively. As a result of this distributor and end customer concentration, any one of the following factors could significantly impact our revenues:

- a significant reduction, delay or cancellation of orders from one or more of our key distributors, branded manufacturers or integrators; or
- a decision by one or more significant customers to select products manufactured by a competitor, or its own internally developed semiconductor, for inclusion in future product generations.

The display manufacturing market is highly concentrated among relatively few large manufacturers. We expect our operating results to continue to depend on revenues from a relatively small number of customers.

The concentration of our accounts receivable with a limited number of customers exposes us to increased credit risk and could harm our operating results and cash flows.

At December 31, 2004, we had two customers that each represented more than 10% of our accounts receivable balance. At December 31, 2003, we had three customers that each represented more than 10% of our accounts receivable balance. TED represented 26% and 20% of total accounts receivable at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively. Neoview, our former distributor in Taiwan, represented 8% and 33% of total accounts receivable at December 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003, respectively. A third customer accounted for 11% of total accounts receivable at December 31, 2004 and a fourth customer accounted for 11% of total accounts receivable at December 31, 2003. The failure to pay these balances by these or any other customer representing 10% or more of our total accounts receivable in the future would result in an expense that would increase our operating expenses and would reduce our cash flows.

International sales account for almost all of our revenue, and if we do not successfully address the risks associated with our international operations, our revenue could decrease.

Sales outside the U.S. accounted for approximately 99%, 99% and 98% of total revenue in 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively. We anticipate that sales outside the U.S. will continue to account for a substantial portion of our revenue in future periods. In addition, customers who incorporate our products into their products sell a substantial portion outside of the U.S., thereby exposing us indirectly to further international risks. In addition, all of our products are manufactured outside of the U.S. We are, therefore, subject to many international risks, including, but not limited to:

- increased difficulties in managing international distributors and manufacturers of our products and components due to varying time zones, languages and business customs;
- foreign currency exchange fluctuations such as the devaluation in the currencies of Japan, Taiwan and Korea that could result in an increased cost of procuring our semiconductors;
- potentially adverse tax consequences, such as license fee revenue taxes imposed in Japan;
- difficulties regarding timing and availability of export and import licenses, which have limited our ability to freely move demonstration equipment and samples in and out of Asia;
- political and economic instability, particularly in the People's Republic of China ("PRC"), Taiwan and Korea;
- reduced or limited protection of our intellectual property, significant amounts of which are contained in software, which is more prone to design piracy;

31

- increased transaction costs related to sales transactions conducted outside of the U.S. such as charges to secure letters of credit for foreign receivables;
- difficulties in maintaining sales representatives outside of the U.S. that are knowledgeable about the display processor industry and our display processor products;
- · changes in the regulatory environment in the PRC, Japan, Korea and Taiwan that may significantly impact purchases of our products by our customers;
- outbreaks of SARS or other pandemics in the PRC or other parts of Asia; and
- difficulties in collecting accounts receivable.

Our growing presence and investment within the Peoples Republic of China subjects us to risks of economic and political instability in the area, which could adversely impact our results of operations.

A substantial and potentially increasing portion of our products are manufactured by foundries located in the PRC and a large number of our customers are geographically concentrated in the PRC. In addition, approximately 37 percent of our employees are located in this area and we made an investment of \$10,000 in Semiconductor Manufacturing International Corporation (SMIC) located in Shanghai, China in the third quarter of 2003. Disruptions from natural disasters, health epidemics (including new outbreaks of SARS or bird flu) and political, social and economic instability may affect the region, and would have a negative impact on our results of operations. In addition, the economy of the PRC differs from the economies of many countries in respects such as structure, government involvement, level of development, growth rate, capital reinvestment, allocation of resources, self-sufficiency, rate of inflation and

balance of payments position, among others. In the past, the economy of the PRC has been primarily a planned economy subject to state plans. Since the entry of the PRC into the World Trade Organization in 2002, the PRC government has been reforming its economic and political systems. These reforms have resulted in significant economic growth and social change. We cannot assure, however, that the PRC government's policies for economic reforms will be consistent or effective. Our results of operations and financial position may be harmed by changes in the PRC's political, economic or social conditions.

Our dependence on selling through distributors and integrators increases the complexity of managing our supply chain and may result in excess inventory or inventory shortages.

Selling through distributors reduces our ability to forecast sales and increases the complexity of our business. Since our distributors act as intermediaries between us and the companies using our products, we must rely on our distributors to accurately report inventory levels and production forecasts. This arrangement requires us to manage a more complex supply chain and monitor the financial condition and creditworthiness of our distributors and customers. Our failure to manage one or more of these challenges could result in excess inventory or shortages that could seriously impact our operating revenue or limit the ability of companies using our semiconductors to deliver their products.

Dependence on a limited number of sole-source, third party manufacturers for our products exposes us to shortages based on capacity allocation or low manufacturing yield, errors in manufacturing, price increases with little notice, volatile inventory levels and delays in product delivery, which could result in delays in satisfying customer demand, increased costs and loss of revenues.

We do not own or operate a semiconductor fabrication facility and we do not have the resources to manufacture our products internally. We rely on third party foundries for wafer fabrication and other contract manufacturers for assembly and testing of our products. Our requirements represent only a small portion of the total production capacity of our contract manufacturers. Our third-party manufacturers have in the past re-allocated capacity to other customers even during periods of high demand for our products. We expect that this may occur again in the future. We have limited control over delivery schedules, quality assurance, manufacturing yields, potential errors in manufacturing and production costs. We do not have long-term supply contracts with our third-party manufacturers

32

so they are not obligated to supply us with products for any specific period of time, in any specific quantity or at any specific price, except as may be provided in a particular purchase order. From time to time our third-party manufacturers increase prices charged to manufacture our products with little notice. This requires us to either increase the price we charge for our products or suffer a decrease in our gross margins. We try not to maintain substantial inventories of products, but need to order products long before we have firm purchase orders for those products which could result in excess inventory or inventory shortages.

If we are unable to obtain our products from manufacturers on schedule, our ability to satisfy customer demand will be harmed, and revenue from the sale of products may be lost or delayed. If orders for our products are cancelled, expected revenues would not be realized. In addition, if the price charged by our third-party manufacturers increases we will be required to increase our prices, which could harm our competitiveness.

The concentration of our manufactures and customers in the same geographic region increases our risk that a natural disaster, labor strike or political unrest could disrupt our operations.

Most of our current manufacturers and customers are located in the PRC, Japan, Korea and Taiwan. The risk of earthquakes in the Pacific Rim region is significant due to the proximity of major earthquake fault lines in the area. A current manufacturer's facilities were affected by a significant earthquake in Taiwan in September 1999. As a consequence of this earthquake, the manufacturer suffered power outages and disruption that impaired its production capacity. Earthquakes, fire, flooding, power outages and other natural disasters in the Pacific Rim region, or political unrest, labor strikes or work stoppages in countries where our manufacturers and customers are located likely would result in the disruption of our manufacturers' and customers' operations. Any disruption resulting from extraordinary events could cause significant delays in shipments of our solutions until we are able to shift our manufacturing from the affected contractor to another third-party vendor. There can be no assurance that alternative capacity could be obtained on favorable terms, if at all.

We use a COT, or customer owned tooling, process for manufacturing some of our products which exposes us to the possibility of poor yields and unacceptably high product costs.

We are building many of our products on a customer owned tooling basis, also known in the semiconductor industry as COT, where we directly contract the manufacture of wafers and assume the responsibility for the assembly and testing of our products. As a result, we are subject to increased risks arising from wafer manufacturing yields and risks associated with coordination of the manufacturing, assembly and testing process. Poor product yields would result in higher product costs, which could make our products uncompetitive with products offered by our competitors if we chose to increase our prices, or could result in low gross profit margins if we did not increase our prices.

We are dependent on our foundries to implement complex semiconductor technologies, which could adversely affect our operations if those technologies are not available, delayed or inefficiently implemented.

In order to increase performance and functionality and reduce the size of our products, we are continuously developing new products using advanced technologies that further miniaturize semiconductors. However, we are dependent on our foundries to develop and provide access to the advanced processes that enable such miniaturization. We cannot be certain that future advanced manufacturing processes will be implemented without difficulties, delays or increased expenses. Our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected if advanced manufacturing processes are unavailable to us, substantially delayed or inefficiently implemented.

Manufacturers of our semiconductor products periodically discontinue manufacturing processes, which could make our products unavailable from our current suppliers.

Semiconductor manufacturing technologies change rapidly and manufacturers typically discontinue older manufacturing processes in favor of newer ones. Once a manufacturer makes the decision to retire a manufacturing process, notice is generally given to its customers. Customers will then either retire the affected part or develop a new

unavailable from our current suppliers. Additionally, migrating to a new, more advanced process requires significant expenditures for research and development. A significant portion of our products use embedded DRAM technology and the required manufacturing process for this technology is anticipated to be available for at least the next two to three years. We also utilize a 0.18um standard logic process, which we expect will be readily available for the next five to seven years. We have commitments from our suppliers to notify us in the event of a discontinuance of a manufacturing process in order to assist us with product transitions.

If we have to qualify a new contract manufacturer or foundry for any of our products, we may experience delays that result in lost revenues and damaged customer relationships.

None of our products are fabricated by more than one supplier. Additionally, our products require manufacturing with state-of-the-art fabrication equipment and techniques. Because the lead-time needed to establish a relationship with a new contract manufacturer is at least six months, and the estimated time for us to adapt a product's design to a particular contract manufacturer's processes is at least four months, there is no readily available alternative source of supply for any specific product. This could cause significant delays in shipping products, which may result in lost revenues and damaged customer relationships.

Our future success depends upon the continued services of key personnel, many of whom would be difficult to replace and the loss of one or more of these employees could seriously harm our business by delaying product development.

Our future success depends upon the continued services of our executive officers, key hardware and software engineers, and sales, marketing and support personnel, many of whom would be difficult to replace. The loss of one or more of these employees, particularly Allen Alley, our President and Chief Executive Officer, could seriously harm our business. In addition, because of the highly technical nature of our business, the loss of key engineering personnel could delay product introductions and significantly impair our ability to successfully create future products. We believe our success depends, in large part, upon our ability to identify, attract and retain qualified hardware and software engineers, and sales, marketing, finance and managerial personnel. Competition for talented personnel is intense and we may not be able to retain our key personnel or identify, attract or retain other highly qualified personnel in the future. We have experienced, and may continue to experience, difficulty in hiring and retaining employees with appropriate qualifications. If we do not succeed in hiring and retaining employees with appropriate qualifications, our product development efforts, revenues and business could be seriously harmed.

Because we do not have long-term commitments from our customers, and plan purchases based on estimates of customer demand which may be inaccurate, we must contract for the manufacture of our products based on those potentially inaccurate estimates.

Our sales are made on the basis of purchase orders rather than long-term purchase commitments. Our customers may cancel or defer purchase orders at any time. This process requires us to make multiple demand forecast assumptions, each of which may introduce error into our estimates. If our customers or we overestimate demand, we may purchase components or have products manufactured that we may not be able to use or sell. As a result, we would have excess inventory, which would negatively affect our operating results. Conversely, if our customers or we underestimate demand or if sufficient manufacturing capacity is unavailable, we would forego revenue opportunities, lose market share and damage our customer relationships.

Development projects may cause us to incur substantial operating expenses without the guarantee of any associated revenue or far in advance of revenue.

We have development projects that consume large amounts of engineering resources far in advance of product revenue. Our work under these projects is technically challenging and places considerable demands on our limited resources, particularly on our most senior engineering talent, and may not result in revenue for twelve to eighteen months, if at all. In addition, allocating significant resources to these projects may detract from or delay the completion of other important development projects. Any of these development projects could be canceled at any

34

time without notice. These factors could have a material and adverse effect on our long-term business and results of operations.

Because of our long product development process and sales cycle, we may incur substantial expenses before we earn associated revenues and may not ultimately sell as many units of our products as we forecasted.

We develop products based on anticipated market and customer requirements and incur substantial product development expenditures, which can include the payment of large up-front, third-party license fees and royalties, prior to generating associated revenues. Because the development of our products incorporates not only our complex and evolving technology, but also our customers' win, the customer may never ship systems incorporating our products. We cannot assure you that the time required for the testing, evaluation and design of our products by our customers would not exceed six months. Because of this lengthy development cycle, we will experience delays between the time we incur expenditures for research and development, sales and marketing, inventory levels and the time we generate revenues, if any, from these expenditures. Additionally, if actual sales volumes for a particular product are substantially less than originally forecasted, we may experience large write-offs of capitalized license fees, product masks and prepaid royalties that would negatively affect our operating results.

Shortages of other key components for our customers' products could delay our ability to sell our products.

Shortages of components and other materials that are critical to the design and manufacture of our customers' products could limit our sales. These components include liquid crystal display panels and other display components, analog-to-digital converters, digital receivers and video decoders. During 2000, some of our customers experienced delays in the availability of key components from other suppliers, which, in turn, caused a delay in demand for the products that we supplied to our customers.

Shortages of materials used in the manufacturing of our products may increase our costs or limit our revenues and impair our ability to ship our products on time.

From time to time, shortages of materials that are used in our products may occur. In particular, we may experience shortages of semiconductor wafers and packages. If material shortages occur, we may incur additional costs or be unable to ship our products to our customers in a timely fashion, both of which could harm our business and negatively impact our earnings.

Our products could become obsolete if necessary licenses of third-party technology are not available to us or are only available on terms that are not commercially viable.

We license technology from third parties that is incorporated into our products or product enhancements. Future products or product enhancements may

require additional third-party licenses that may not be available to us or available on terms that are commercially reasonable. If we are unable to obtain any third-party license required to develop new products and product enhancements, we may have to obtain substitute technology of lower quality or performance standards or at greater cost, either of which could seriously harm the competitiveness of our products.

We may not be able to respond to the rapid technological changes in the markets in which we compete, or we may not be able to comply with industry standards in the future making our products less desirable or obsolete.

The markets in which we compete or seek to compete are subject to rapid technological change, frequent new product introductions, changing customer requirements for new products and features, and evolving industry standards. The introduction of new technologies and the emergence of new industry standards could render our products less desirable or obsolete, which could harm our business. Examples of changing industry standards include

35

the introduction of high-definition television, or HDTV, new digital receivers and displays with resolutions that have required us to accelerate development of new products to meet these new standards.

Our software development tools may be incompatible with industry standards and challenging to implement, which could slow product development or cause us to lose customers and design wins.

Our existing products incorporate complex software tools designed to help customers bring products into production. Software development is a complex process and we are dependent on software development languages and operating systems from vendors that may compromise our ability to design software in a timely manner. Also, software development is a volatile market and new software languages are introduced to the market that may be incompatible with our existing systems and tools. New software development languages may not be compatible with our own requiring significant engineering efforts to migrate our existing systems in order to be compatible with those new languages. Existing or new software development tools could make our current products obsolete or hard to use. Software development disruptions could slow our product development or cause us to lose customers and design wins.

Our integrated circuits and software could contain defects, which could reduce sales of those products or result in claims against us.

Despite testing by both our customers and us, errors or performance problems may be found in existing or new semiconductors and software. This could result in a delay in the recognition or loss of revenues, loss of market share or failure to achieve market acceptance. These defects may cause us to incur significant warranty, support and repair costs. They could also divert the attention of our engineering personnel from our product development efforts and harm our relationships with our customers. The occurrence of these problems could result in the delay or loss of market acceptance of our semiconductors and would likely harm our business. Defects, integration issues or other performance problems in our semiconductors and software could result in financial or other damages to our customers or could damage market acceptance of our products. Our customers could also seek damages from us for their losses. A product liability claim brought against us, even if unsuccessful, would likely be time consuming and costly to defend.

Others may bring infringement actions against us that could be time-consuming and expensive to defend.

We may become subject to claims involving patents or other intellectual property rights. For example, in early 2000, we were notified by InFocus Corporation ("InFocus") that we were infringing on patents held by InFocus. In February 2000, we entered into a license agreement with InFocus granting us the right to use the technology covered by those InFocus patents. As a result, we recorded a one-time charge of \$4,078 for patent settlement expense in the first quarter of 2000. Intellectual property claims could subject us to significant liability for damages and invalidate our proprietary rights. In addition, intellectual property claims may be brought against customers that incorporate our products in the design of their own products. These claims, regardless of their success or merit and regardless of whether we are named as defendants in a lawsuit, would likely be time-consuming and expensive to resolve and would divert the time and attention of management and technical personnel. Any future intellectual property litigation or claims also could force us to do one or more of the following:

- stop selling products using technology that contains the allegedly infringing intellectual property;
- attempt to obtain a license to the relevant intellectual property, which license may not be available on reasonable terms or at all;
- attempt to redesign those products that contain the allegedly infringing intellectual property; and
- pay damages for past infringement claims that are determined to be valid or which are arrived at in settlement of such litigation or threatened litigation.

36

If we are forced to take any of the foregoing actions, we may be unable to manufacture and sell our products, which could seriously harm our business. In addition, we may not be able to develop, license or acquire non-infringing technology under reasonable terms. These developments could result in an inability to compete for customers or could adversely affect our ability to increase our earnings.

Our limited ability to protect our intellectual property and proprietary rights could harm our competitive position by allowing our competitors to access our proprietary technology and to introduce similar display processor products.

Our ability to compete effectively with other companies will depend, in part, on our ability to maintain the proprietary nature of our technology, including our semiconductor designs and software. We rely on a combination of patent, copyright, trademark and trade secret laws, as well as nondisclosure agreements and other methods, to protect our proprietary technologies. We hold 15 U.S. patents and have 46 patent applications pending with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office for protection of our significant technologies. We cannot assure you that the degree of protection offered by patents or trade secret laws will be sufficient. Furthermore, we cannot assure you that any patents will be issued as a result of any pending applications, or that, if issued, any claims allowed will be sufficiently broad to protect our technology. In addition, it is possible that existing or future patents may be challenged, invalidated or circumvented. Competitors in both the U.S. and foreign countries, many of whom have substantially greater resources, may apply for and obtain patents that will prevent, limit or interfere with our ability to make and sell our products, or develop similar technology independently or design around our patents. Effective copyright, trademark and trade secret protection may be unavailable or limited in foreign countries. In addition, we provide the computer programming code for our software to selected customers in connection with their product development efforts, thereby increasing the risk that customers will misappropriate our proprietary software.

Any acquisition or equity investment we make could disrupt our business and severely harm our financial condition.

To date, we have acquired Panstera, Inc. in January 2001, nDSP in January 2002 and Jaldi Semiconductor in September 2002. In March 2003, we announced the execution of a definitive merger agreement with Genesis Microchip, Inc.; however, the merger was terminated in August of 2003, and we incurred \$8,949 of expenses related to the transaction. Additionally, in the third quarter of 2003, we made an investment of \$10,000 in SMIC. We intend to continue to consider investments in or acquisitions of complementary businesses, products or technologies. In the second quarter of 2004, we raised \$145,500, net, upon the sale of our 1.75% convertible debentures. We may use these proceeds to fund such future acquisitions or equity investments.

The acquisitions of Panstera, nDSP and Jaldi contained a very high level of risk primarily because the investments were made based on in-process technological development that may not have been completed, or if completed, may not have become commercially viable.

These and any future acquisitions and investments could result in:

- issuance of stock that dilutes current shareholders' percentage ownership;
- · incurrence of debt;
- · assumption of liabilities;
- amortization expenses related to other intangible assets;
- · impairment of goodwill; or
- large and immediate write-offs.

Our operation of any acquired business will also involve numerous risks, including, but not limited to:

37

- problems combining the purchased operations, technologies or products;
- · unanticipated costs;
- · diversion of management's attention from our core business;
- adverse effects on existing business relationships with customers;
- risks associated with entering markets in which we have no or limited prior experience; and
- potential loss of key employees, particularly those of the acquired organizations.

We may not be able to successfully integrate businesses, products, technologies or personnel that we might acquire in the future and any failure to do so could disrupt our business and seriously harm our financial condition. In addition, if we acquire companies with weak internal controls, it will take time to get the acquired company up to the same level of operating effectiveness as Pixelworks. Our inability to address these risks could negatively affect our operating results.

Goodwill represents a significant portion of our total assets.

As of December 31, 2004, goodwill amounted to \$80,836 or approximately 19% of our total assets. We are required to review goodwill for possible impairment on an annual basis or when events and circumstances arise which indicate a possible impairment. The review of goodwill for impairment may result in large write-offs of goodwill, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

We have incurred substantial indebtedness as a result of the sale of convertible debentures.

In the second quarter of 2004, we issued \$150 million of 1.75% convertible debentures due 2024 in a private placement pursuant to Rule 144A and Regulation S under the Securities Act of 1933. As a result of this indebtedness, our principal obligations will increase substantially. These debt obligations could materially and adversely affect our ability to obtain debt financing for working capital, acquisitions or other purposes, limit our flexibility in planning for or reacting to changes in our business, reduce funds available for use in our operations and could make us more vulnerable to industry downturns and competitive pressures. Our ability to meet our debt service obligations will be dependent upon our future performance, which will be subject to financial, business and other factors affecting our operations, many of which are beyond our control.

Failure to manage our expansion effectively could adversely affect our ability to increase our business and our results of operations.

Our ability to successfully market and sell our products in a rapidly evolving market requires effective planning and management processes. We continue to increase the scope of our operations domestically and internationally and have increased our headcount from 241 employees at the end of 2003 to 349 at December 31, 2004. Our past growth, and our expected future growth, places a significant strain on our management systems and resources including our financial and managerial controls, reporting systems and procedures. To manage our growth effectively, we must implement and improve operational and financial systems, train and manage our employee base and attract and retain qualified personnel with relevant experience. We must also manage multiple relationships with customers, business partners, contract manufacturers, suppliers and other third parties. Moreover, we could spend substantial amounts of time and money in connection with our rapid growth and may have unexpected costs. Our systems, procedures or controls may not be adequate to support our operations and we may not be able to expand quickly enough to exploit potential market opportunities. While we have not, to date, suffered any significant adverse consequences due to our growth, if we do not continue to manage growth effectively our operating expenses could increase more rapidly than our revenue causing decreased profitability.

Risks Related to our Industry

Failure of consumer demand for advanced displays and other digital display technologies to increase would impede our growth and adversely affect our business.

Our product development strategies anticipate that consumer demand for flat panel displays and other emerging display technologies will increase in the future. The success of our products is dependent on increased demand for these display technologies. The potential size of the market for products incorporating these display technologies and the timing of its development are uncertain and will depend upon a number of factors, all of which are beyond our control. In order for the market for many of our products to grow, advanced display products must be widely available and affordable to consumers. In the past, the supply of advanced display products has been cyclical. We expect this pattern to continue. Under-capacity in the advanced display market may limit our ability to increase our revenues because our customers may limit their purchases of our products if they cannot obtain sufficient supplies of LCD panels or other advanced display components. In addition, advanced display prices may remain high because of limited supply, and consumer demand may not grow.

If products incorporating our semiconductors are not compatible with computer display protocols, video standards and other devices, the market for our products will be reduced and our business prospects could be significantly limited.

Our products are incorporated into our customers' products, which have different parts and specifications and utilize multiple protocols that allow them to be compatible with specific computers, video standards and other devices. If our customers' products are not compatible with these protocols and standards, consumers will return these products, or consumers will not purchase these products, and the markets for our customers' products could be significantly reduced. As a result, a portion of our market would be eliminated, and our business would be harmed.

Intense competition in our markets may reduce sales of our products, reduce our market share, decrease our gross profit and result in large losses.

Rapid technological change, evolving industry standards, compressed product life cycles and declining average selling prices are characteristics of our market and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. As the overall price of advanced flat panel display screens continues to fall, we may be required to offer our products to manufacturers at discounted prices due to increased price competition. At the same time, new, alternative display processing technologies and industry standards may emerge that directly compete with technologies that we offer. We may be required to increase our investment in research and development at the same time that product prices are falling. In addition, even after making this investment, we cannot assure you that our technologies will be superior to those of our competitors or that our products will achieve market acceptance, whether for performance or price reasons. Failure to effectively respond to these trends could reduce the demand for our products.

We compete with specialized and diversified electronics and semiconductor companies that offer display processors or scaling components. Some of these include ATI, Genesis Microchip, I-Chips, ITE, Macronix, Mediatek, Media Reality Technologies, Micronas, MStar Semiconductor, Inc., Oplus, Realtek, Silicon Image, Silicon Optix, STMicroelectronics, Techwell, Topro, Trident, Trumpion, Weltrend, Zoran and other companies. Potential competitors may include diversified semiconductor manufacturers and the semiconductor divisions or affiliates of some of our customers, including Intel, Koninlijke Philips Electronics, LG Electronics, Matsushita Electric Industrial, Mitsubishi, National Semiconductor, NEC, nVidia, Samsung Electronics, Sanyo Electric Company, Sharp Corporation, Sony Corporation, Texas Instruments and Toshiba Corporation. In addition, start-up companies may seek to compete in our markets. Many of our competitors have longer operating histories and greater resources to support development and marketing efforts. Some of our competitors may operate their own fabrication facilities. These competitors may be able to react more quickly and devote more resources to efforts that compete directly with our own. In the future, our current or potential customers may also develop their own proprietary display processors and become our competitors. Our competitors may develop advanced technologies enabling them to offer more cost-effective and higher quality semiconductors to our customers than those offered by us. Increased competition could

39

harm our business, financial condition and results of operations by, for example, increasing pressure on our profit margin or causing us to lose sales opportunities. We cannot assure you that we can compete successfully against current or potential competitors.

The cyclical nature of the semiconductor industry may lead to significant variances in the demand for our products and could harm our operations.

In the past, the semiconductor industry has been characterized by significant downturns and wide fluctuations in supply and demand. Also, during this time, the industry has experienced significant fluctuations in anticipation of changes in general economic conditions, including economic conditions in Asia and North America. The cyclical nature of the semiconductor industry has led to significant variances in product demand and production capacity. It has also accelerated erosion of average selling prices per unit. We may experience periodic fluctuations in our future financial results because of changes in industry-wide conditions.

Other Risks

The anti-takeover provisions of Oregon law and in our articles of incorporation could adversely affect the rights of the holders of our common stock by preventing a sale or takeover of us at a price or prices favorable to the holders of our common stock.

Provisions of our articles of incorporation and bylaws and provisions of Oregon law may have the effect of delaying or preventing a merger or acquisition of us, making a merger or acquisition of us less desirable to a potential acquirer or preventing a change in our management, even if the shareholders consider the merger or acquisition favorable or if doing so would benefit our shareholders. In addition, these provisions could limit the price that investors would be willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock. The following are examples of such provisions in our articles of incorporation or bylaws:

- our board of directors is authorized, without prior shareholder approval, to create and issue preferred stock with voting or other rights or preferences that could impede the success of any attempt to acquire us or change our control, commonly referred to as "blank check" preferred stock;
- members of our board of directors can only be removed for cause;
- the board of directors may alter our bylaws without obtaining shareholder approval; and

• shareholders are required to provide advance notice for nominations for election to the board of directors or for proposing matters to be acted upon at a shareholder meeting.

Our principal shareholders have significant voting power and may take actions that may make it more difficult to sell our shares at a premium to take over candidates.

Our executive officers, directors and other principal shareholders, in the aggregate, beneficially own 18,680,813 shares or approximately 40% of our outstanding common stock and exchangeable shares as of February 28, 2005. These shareholders currently have, and will continue to have, significant influence with respect to the election of our directors and approval or disapproval of our significant corporate actions. This influence over our affairs might be adverse to the interest of our other shareholders. In addition, the voting power of these shareholders could have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control of our business or otherwise discouraging a potential acquirer from attempting to obtain control of us, which could prevent our other shareholders from realizing a premium over the market price for their common stock.

The price of our common stock has and may continue to fluctuate substantially.

Investors may not be able to sell shares of our common stock at or above the price they paid due to a number of factors, including:

40

- actual or anticipated fluctuations in our operating results;
- changes in expectations as to our future financial performance;
- · changes in financial estimates of securities analysts;
- announcements by us or our competitors of technological innovations, design wins, contracts, standards or acquisitions;
- the operating and stock price performance of other comparable companies;
- announcements of future expectations by our customers;
- · changes in market valuations of other technology companies; and
- inconsistent trading volume levels of our common stock.

In particular, the stock prices of technology companies similar to us have been highly volatile. These fluctuations often have been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of those companies. Market fluctuations as well as general economic, political and market conditions including recessions, interest rate changes or international currency fluctuations, may negatively impact the market price of our common stock. Therefore, the price of our common stock may decline, and the value of your investment may be reduced regardless of our performance.

We may be unable to meet our future capital requirements, which would limit our ability to grow.

We believe our current cash and marketable security balances will be sufficient to meet our capital requirements for the next 12 months. However, we may need, or could elect to seek, additional funding prior to that time. To the extent that currently available funds are insufficient to fund our future activities, we may need to raise additional funds through public or private equity or debt financing. Additional funds may not be available on terms favorable to us or our shareholders. Further, if we issue equity securities, our shareholders may experience additional dilution or the new equity securities may have rights, preferences or privileges senior to those of our common stock. If we cannot raise funds on acceptable terms, we may not be able to develop or enhance our products, take advantage of future opportunities or respond to competitive pressures or unanticipated requirements.

We may be unable to meet changing laws, regulations and standards relating to corporate governance and public disclosure.

We are spending an increasing amount of management time and external resources to comply with changing laws, regulations and standards relating to corporate governance and public disclosure, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, new SEC regulations and Nasdaq Stock Market rules. In particular, Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 requires management's annual review and evaluation of our internal control systems, and attestations of the effectiveness of these systems by our independent registered public accounting firm. The process of documenting and testing our controls has required that we hire additional personnel and outside advisory services and has resulted in additional accounting and legal expenses. While we invested significant time and money in our effort to evaluate and test our internal control over financial reporting, a material weakness was identified in our internal control over financial reporting in 2004. Although we believe that we have remediated the material weakness identified, our disclosure of this material weakness may impact investor perception of our company and may affect our stock price. In addition, there are inherent limitations to the effectiveness of any system of disclosure controls and procedures, including cost limitations, the possibility of human error, judgments and assumptions regarding the likelihood of future events, and the circumvention or overriding of the controls and procedures. Accordingly, even effective disclosure controls and procedures can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving their control objectives.

41

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Our primary market risk exposure is the impact of interest rate fluctuations on interest income earned on our investment portfolio. We mitigate risks associated with such fluctuations, as well as the risk of loss of principal, by investing in high-credit quality securities and limiting concentrations of issuers and maturity dates. Derivative financial instruments are not part of our investment portfolio.

As of December 31, 2004, we had convertible subordinated notes of \$150,000 outstanding with a fixed interest rate of 1.75%. Interest rate changes affect that fair value of these notes, but do not affect our earnings or cash flow.

All of our sales are denominated in U.S. dollars and as a result, we have relatively little exposure to foreign currency exchange risk with respect to our sales.

We have employees located in offices in Canada, Japan, Taiwan and the People's Republic of China and as such, a portion of our operating expenses are denominated in foreign currencies. Accordingly, our operating results are affected by changes in the exchange rate between the U.S. dollar and those currencies. Any future strengthening of those currencies against the U.S. dollar could negatively impact our operating results by increasing our operating expenses as measured in U.S. dollars. While we cannot reasonably estimate the effect that an immediate 10% change in foreign currency exchange rates would have on our operating results or cash flows, we believe that the effect would not be material. We do not currently hedge against foreign currency rate fluctuations.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The Company's Consolidated Financial Statements and the Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm thereon are presented in the following pages. The Financial Statements filed in Item 8 are as follows:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2004 and 2003

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

42

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders Pixelworks, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Pixelworks, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2004 and 2003, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2004. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Pixelworks, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2004 and 2003, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2004, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of Pixelworks, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2004, based on criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated March 15, 2005 expressed an unqualified opinion on management's assessment of, and an adverse opinion on the effective operation of, internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Portland, Oregon March 15, 2005

43

PIXELWORKS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (In thousands, except share data)

	Dece	mber 31,
	2004	2003
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 32,585	\$ 16,490
Short-term marketable securities	160,213	64,331
Accounts receivable, net	14,605	8,468
Inventories, net	18,575	10,478
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	4,856	4,826
Total current assets	230,834	104,593
Long-term marketable securities	79,483	19,875
Property and equipment, net	12,444	6,561
Other assets, net	8,101	12,511
Debt issuance costs, net	4,483	-

Deferred tax assets, net	4,868	3,694
Acquired intangible assets, net	2,520	3,535
Goodwill	80,836	82,548
Total assets	\$423,569	\$ 233,317
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 5,946	\$ 4,330
Accrued liabilities and current portion of long-term liabilities	12,842	8,582
Income taxes payable	2,393	
Total current liabilities	21,181	12,912
Long-term liabilities	365	100
Long-term debt	150,000	
Total liabilities	171,546	13,012
Commitments and contingencies		
Shareholders' Equity:		
Preferred stock, \$.001 par value; 50,000,000 shares authorized, 1 share issued and outstanding at December 31, 2004 and 2003	-	-
Common stock, \$.001 par value; 250,000,000 shares authorized, 46,287,752 and 45,113,662 shares issued and outstanding at		
December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively	304,996	294,235
Shares exchangeable into common stock; 1,731,099 shares issued, 649,453 and 833,861 outstanding at December 31, 2004 and		
2003, respectively	6,144	7,888
Accumulated other comprehensive income	531	-
Deferred stock-based compensation	(60)	(449)
Accumulated deficit	(59,588)	(81,369)
Total shareholders' equity	252,023	220,305
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 423,569	\$ 233,317

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

44

PIXELWORKS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (In thousands, except per share data)

		Year Ended December 31,				
	_	2004		2003		2002
Revenue	\$	176,211	\$	140,921	\$	102,641
Cost of revenue (1)		89,655		77,528		51,736
Gross profit		86,556		63,393		50,905
Operating expenses:						
Research and development (2)		30,407		26,014		26,772
Selling, general and administrative (3)		27,281		22,465		18,823
Merger related expenses		-		8,949		-
Restructuring		-		5,049		-
In-process research and development		-		-		24,342
Stock-based compensation and amortization of assembled workforce		839		3,530	_	3,214
Total operating expenses		58,527		66,007		73,151
Income (loss) from operations		28,029		(2,614)		(22,246)
Interest income		3,823		1,188		2,349
Interest expense		(1,609)		(11)		(74)
Amortization of debt issuance costs		(472)		-		-
Interest income, net	_	1,742		1,177		2,275
Income (loss) before income taxes		29,771		(1,437)		(19,971)
Provision for (recovery of) income taxes		7,990		(907)		880
Net income (loss)	\$	21,781	\$	(530)	\$	(20,851)
Net income (loss) per share:						
Basic	\$	0.47	\$	(0.01)	\$	(0.48)
Diluted	\$	0.45	\$	(0.01)	\$	(0.48)
Weighted average shares outstanding:						
Basic		46,673		45,337		43,397
Diluted		52,062		45,337	_	43,397
(1) Includes amortization of:						
Acquired developed technology	\$	529	\$	529	\$	485
Deferred stock-based compensation		-		8		21
(2) Excludes stock-based compensation of:		222		1,368		2,224
(3) Excludes stock-based compensation of:		131		1,676		748
•				-		

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

PIXELWORKS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (in thousands)

Year Ended December 31, 2004 2002 2003 Cash flows from operating activities: 21,781 Net income (loss) \$ (530) \$ (20,851)Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities: Depreciation and amortization 8,160 6,119 6,044 Income tax benefit from stock options 4,485 1,357 Deferred income tax benefit (1,101)(1,168)(646)Loss on asset disposals 381 3,927 87 In-process research and development expense 24,342 Amortization of acquired developed technology 529 529 485 Stock-based compensation and amortization of assembled workforce 839 3,568 3,235 Amortization of debt issuance costs 472 Amortization of deferred tax charge 55 55 95 Deferred rent Lease costs related to restructuring 188 Provision for doubtful accounts Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions: Accounts receivable (6,137)1,953 (3,840)(8,097)(3,690)(1,788)Inventories, net Prepaid expenses and other current and long-term assets (43)(548)331 Accounts payable 1,677 (754)394 Accrued current and long-term liabilities and income taxes payable 4,465 1,380 (2,477)Net cash provided by operating activities 27,561 11,029 6,680 Cash flows from investing activities: Purchases of marketable securities (259,042)(135,844)(109,520)Purchases of property and equipment (8,471)(4,385)(5,622)Payments on equipment and other asset financing (5,106)(199)(779)Purchases of other assets and investments (3,221)(10,253)(1,525)114,083 83,498 Proceeds from maturities of marketable securities 135,628 Cash proceeds from sale of assets 12 Acquisitions, net of cash acquired 102 (161,745)Net cash used in investing activities (15,053)(33,846)Cash flows from financing activities: 145,500 Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt Proceeds from issuances of common stock 5,110 2,937 1,455 Tenant improvement allowance 124 Debt issuance costs (455)150,279 2,937 Net cash provided by financing activities 1,455 Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents 16,095 (1,087)(25,711)Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period 16,490 17,577 43,288 Cash and cash equivalents, end of period 32,585 16,490 17,577 Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: Cash paid during the year for: Interest 1,293 \$ 11 80 Income taxes 530 920 51 Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities: \$ 10,000 \$ \$ Transfer of cost-based investment to available-for-sale marketable security Acquisitions of property and equipment and other assets under extended payment terms 8,450 Release and cancellation of shares held in escrow 541 55

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Debt issuance costs withheld from proceeds Value of shares issued in acquisitions

46

PIXELWORKS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (in thousands, except share data)

			Accumulated			
Common Stock	Exchangeable Shares	Note	Other		Deferred	Total
		Receivable for	Comprehensive	Comprehensive	Stock-based	Accumulated Shareholders'

4,500

37,501

	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Common Stock	Income	Income	Compensation	Deficit	Equity
Balance at December 31,										
2001 Stock issued under stock	41,398,324	\$259,363	-	\$ -	\$ (84)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (5,658)	\$ (59,988)	\$ 193,633
option and stock purchase										
plans and tax benefits										
associated with stock plans	764,433	2,716	-	-	84	-	-	-	-	2,800
Shares issued in connection with Jaldi asset acquisition	_	1,011	1,731,099	16,376	_	_	_	(1,205)	_	16,182
Shares issued in connection		-,	-,,,,-,					(-,,-)		,
with nDSP acquisition	1,185,995	20,114	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20,114
Release and cancellation of shares held in escrow	(3,297)	(55)		_	_	_	_	_	_	(55)
Deferred stock-based	(3,271)	(33)	_	_						(33)
compensation due to										
options granted Reversal of deferred stock-	-	2,495	-	-	-	-	-	(2,495)	-	-
based compensation due to										
terminations	-	(3,963)	-	-	-	-	-	3,963	-	-
Amortization of deferred								2.002		2 002
stock-based compensation Conversion of exchangeable	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,993		2,993
shares to common stock	622,130	5,885	(622,130)	(5,885)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net loss									(20,851)	(20,851)
Balance at December 31,	42.077.595	207.566	1 100 070	10.401				(2.402)	(90, 930)	214.916
2002 Stock issued under stock	43,967,585	287,566	1,108,969	10,491	-	-	-	(2,402)	(80,839)	214,816
option and stock purchase										
plans	870,969	2,937	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,937
Stock-based compensation expense due to option										
modifications and grant to										
non-employee	-	1,903	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,903
Reversal of deferred stock-										
based compensation due to terminations	_	(774)	_	_	_	_	_	774	_	_
Amortization of deferred		(,,,,								
stock-based compensation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,179	-	1,179
Conversion of exchangeable shares to common stock	275,108	2,603	(275,108)	(2,603)	_	_	_	_	_	_
Net loss	-	2,003	(273,100)	(2,003)	-	-	-	-	(530)	(530)
Balance at December 31,										
2003 Stock issued under stock	45,113,662	294,235	833,861	7,888	-	-	-	(449)	(81,369)	220,305
option and stock purchase										
plans and tax benefits										
associated with stock plans	1,021,761	9,595	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9,595
Stock-based compensation expense due to option										
modification	_	65	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	65
Reversal of deferred stock-										
based compensation due to		(101)						101		
terminations Amortization of deferred	-	(101)	-	-	-	-	-	101	-	-
stock-based compensation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	288	-	288
Conversion of exchangeable	104005	1.740	(104005)	(1.542)						
shares to common stock Reversal of exchangeable	184,205	1,743	(184,205)	(1,743)	-	-	-	-	-	-
shares due to termination										
Dalassa and aspeallation of	-	-	(203)	(1)	-	-	-	-	-	(1)
Release and cancellation of shares held in escrow	(31,876)	(541)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	(541)
Net income	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 21,781	-	21,781	21,781
Unrealized gain on available-										
for-sale investment, net of tax of \$239	_		_	-		531	531		_	531
Comprehensive income	-	-		-	-	551	\$ 22,312	-	-	331
Balance at December 31,							,12			
2004	46,287,752	\$304,996	649,453	\$ 6,144	\$ -	\$ 531		\$ (60)	\$ (59,588)	\$ 252,023

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

47

PIXELWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(in thousands, except share and per share data)

Nature of Business

Pixelworks, Inc. ("Pixelworks" or "the Company") is a leading designer, developer and marketer of semiconductors and software for the advanced display industry, including advanced televisions, multimedia projectors and flat panel monitors. Our system-on-chip semiconductors provide the 'intelligence' for these types of displays by processing and optimizing video and computer graphic signals to produce high-quality images.

Consolidated Financial Statements

Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Pixelworks and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. Intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated. All foreign subsidiaries use the US dollar as the functional currency, and as a result, transaction gains and losses are included in the statement of operations. Transaction gains (losses) were \$77, (\$153) and (\$24) for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Our significant estimates and judgments include those related to product returns, warranty obligations, bad debts, inventory valuation, property and equipment, intangible assets, income taxes, litigation and other contingencies. The actual results experienced could differ materially from our estimates.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to the 2003 and 2002 financial statements to conform to the 2004 presentation, including the reclassification of investments in auction rate securities from cash and cash equivalents to available-for-sale short-term marketable securities.

NOTE 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Cash and Cash Equivalents

We consider all highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less at the date of purchase to be cash equivalents. Cash equivalents totaled \$29,867 and \$8,940 at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Marketable Securities

Our investments in marketable securities are categorized as either held-to-maturity or available-for-sale in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities ("SFAS 115"). Held-to-maturity marketable securities are stated at amortized cost in the consolidated balance sheets. We have the positive intent and ability to hold these securities until maturity. Available-for-sale securities are stated at fair value based on quoted market prices with unrealized holding gains or losses, net of tax, recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income, a component of shareholders' equity.

Short-term marketable securities have remaining maturities of twelve months or less at December 31, 2004 and 2003, and long-term marketable securities have remaining maturities of greater than twelve months.

The cost of securities sold is based on the specific identification method.

48

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable are recorded at invoiced amount and do not bear interest when recorded or accrue interest when past due. We do not have any off balance sheet exposure risk related to customers. Accounts receivable are stated net of an allowance for doubtful accounts, which is maintained for estimated losses that may result from the inability of our customers to make required payments. The balance is determined based on our historical write-off experience and the age of outstanding receivables at each reporting date. The determination to write off specific accounts receivable balances is made based on likelihood of collection and past due status. Past due status is based on invoice date and terms specific to each customer.

Inventories

Inventories consists of finished goods and work-in-process, and are stated at the lower of standard cost (which approximates actual cost on a first-in, first-out basis) or market (net realizable value), net of a reserve for slow moving and obsolete items.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation is calculated on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful life of the assets as follows:

Software3 yearsEquipment, furniture and fixtures2 yearsTooling2 years

Leasehold improvements Lesser of lease term or estimated useful life of asset

Reviews for impairment of property and equipment are performed whenever events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of assets may not be recoverable, or that the useful life of assets is shorter than originally estimated. Impairment is assessed in accordance with SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-lived Assets* ("SFAS 144"), by comparing the projected undiscounted net cash flows associated with the assets over their remaining useful lives against their respective carrying amounts. Impairment, if any, is based on the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of the assets.

The cost of property and equipment repairs and maintenance is expensed as incurred.

Acquired Intangible Assets

Acquired intangible assets consist of developed technology and an assembled workforce asset. These acquired intangible assets are being amortized on a straight-line basis over seven and three years, respectively. The assets are reviewed regularly to determine whether events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable, or that the useful life of the assets is shorter than originally estimated. If such events or circumstances did exist, the assets would be assessed for recoverability in accordance with SFAS 144. To date, we have not recognized any impairment charges against these assets

Other Intangible Assets

In addition to acquisition related intangible assets, we have recorded other intangible assets, which consist of amounts paid under technology license agreements. These assets are stated at cost and are amortized on a straight-line basis over the term of the license or the estimated life of the asset if the license is not contractually limited, generally three to five years. These assets are included in other long-term assets in the consolidated balance sheets, and are assessed for impairment in accordance with SFAS 144 whenever events or circumstances indicate that their carrying amount may not be recoverable, or that their useful lives may be shorter than originally estimated.

49

Goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess cost over the fair value of net assets acquired in business combinations. Goodwill is not amortized and is instead tested annually for impairment and more frequently if events and circumstances indicate that it might be impaired. The impairment tests are performed in accordance with SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*. Accordingly, an impairment loss is recognized to the extent that the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value. This determination is made at the reporting unit level. We have assigned all goodwill to a single, enterprise-level reporting unit. The impairment test consists of two steps. First, we determine the fair value of the reporting unit. The fair value is then compared to its carrying amount. Second, if the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, an impairment loss is recognized for any excess of the carrying amount of the reporting unit's goodwill over the implied fair value of that goodwill. The implied fair value of goodwill is determined by allocating the fair value of the reporting unit in a manner similar to a purchase price allocation in accordance with SFAS No. 141, *Business Combinations*. The residual fair value after this allocation is the implied fair value of the reporting unit goodwill. We perform our annual goodwill impairment test in the first quarter of each year. We did not record any goodwill impairment charges in 2004, 2003 or 2002.

Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenue in accordance with Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, *Revenue Recognition*. Accordingly, we recognize revenue from product sales to customers and distributors upon shipment provided that:

- an authorized purchase order has been received;
- the sales price is fixed and determinable;
- title and risk of loss have transferred:
- collection of the resulting receivable is probable; and
- product returns are reasonably estimable.

There are no customer acceptance provisions associated with our products, and except for replacement of defective products under our warranty program, we have no obligation to accept product returns from end customers. Requests to return product are assessed on a case-by-case basis and returns are accepted as customer accommodations only.

A portion of our sales are made to distributors under agreements that grant the distributor limited stock rotation rights and price protection discounts on instock merchandise. The stock rotation rights allow these distributors to exchange product currently in their inventory for other Pixelworks product. Under the price protection provisions, we may grant credits to certain distributors on previous sales.

We record estimated reductions to gross profit for these sales returns and allowances in our reserve for sales returns and allowances. We update the balance in this reserve based on historical experience at each reporting period. If actual returns increase, we may be required to recognize additional reductions to gross profit.

Revenue from software sales was not material for the periods presented.

Warranty Program

We warrant that our products will be free from defects in material and workmanship for a period of twelve months from delivery. Warranty repairs are guaranteed for the remainder of the original warranty period. Our warranty is limited to repairing or replacing products, or refunding the purchase price.

We provide for the estimated cost of product warranties in our warranty reserve. We update the balance in this reserve based on historical experience at each reporting period. While we engage in extensive product quality programs and processes, which include actively monitoring and evaluating the quality of our suppliers, should actual product failure rates or product replacement costs differ from our estimates, revisions to our estimated warranty liability may be required.

50

Stock-Based Compensation

We have a 1997 Stock Incentive Plan and a 2001 Nonqualified Stock Option Plan under which employees, officers and directors may be granted stock options to purchase shares of the Company's common stock. We also have a 2000 Employee Stock Purchase Plan under which eligible employees may purchase shares of Pixelworks' common stock at 85% of fair market value at specific, pre-determined dates.

As permitted by SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation ("SFAS 123"), and SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation – Transition and Disclosure, an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 123, we continue to apply the intrinsic value based method of accounting for stock compensation described in APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees ("Opinion 25"). As such, stock-based compensation cost is measured as the excess, if any, of the quoted market price of Pixelworks' stock on the grant, or other measurement date, over the amount that an option holder must pay to acquire the stock.

Deferred stock-based compensation is being amortized on an accelerated basis over the vesting period, generally four years, consistent with the methodology described in Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Interpretation No. 28, *Accounting for Stock Appreciation Rights and Other Variable Stock Option or Award Plans*. Deferred stock-based compensation amortized in the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 was \$288, \$1,179 and \$2,993, respectively. If employees are terminated, any deferred stock-based compensation related to unvested stock options is reversed. Reversals of deferred stock-based compensation during the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 was \$101, \$774 and \$3,963, respectively.

During the fourth quarter of 2003, we accelerated the vesting of outstanding stock option awards for employees whose employment was terminated as a result of our restructuring. (See Note 9.) We recognized an additional \$1,873 of stock-based compensation expense as a result of the modifications.

Entities electing to continue to apply Opinion 25 must make prominent pro-forma disclosures of net income and earnings per share as if the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based compensation prescribed by SFAS 123 had been applied. Had we accounted for our stock-based compensation plans in accordance with SFAS 123, our net income (loss) would approximate the pro-forma amounts below:

Voor Ended December 31

	1 ea	1,	
	2004	2003	2002
Net income (loss) as reported	\$ 21,781	\$ (530)	\$(20,851)
Add: Stock-based compensation included in reported net income (loss), net of related tax effects	258	1,862	2,993
Deduct: Stock-based compensation determined under the fair value based method, net of related tax effects	(11,750)	(10,659)	(12,882)
Pro-forma net income (loss)	\$ 10,289	\$ (9,327)	\$(30,740)
Reported net income (loss) per share			
Basic	\$ 0.47	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.48)
Diluted	\$ 0.45	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.48)
Pro-forma net income (loss) per share			
Basic	\$ 0.22	\$ (0.21)	\$ (0.71)
Diluted	\$ 0.21	\$ (0.21)	\$ (0.71)

The fair value of stock-based compensation costs reflected in the above pro forma amounts were determined using the Black-Scholes option pricing model and the following weighted average assumptions:

51

	Year Ended December 3		31,
	2004	2003	2002
Stock Option Plans:			
Risk free interest rate	3.88%	2.90%	2.76%
Expected dividend yield	0%	0%	0%
Expected life (in years)	6.1	5.5	5.4
Volatility	100%	109%	115%
Employee Stock Purchase Plan:			
Risk free interest rate	1.87%	1.83%	2.26%
Expected dividend yield	0%	0%	0%
Expected life (in years)	1.3	1.2	1.2
Volatility	103%	104%	114%

Under the Black-Scholes option pricing model, the weighted-average fair value of options granted at market value during 2004, 2003 and 2002 was approximately \$11.32, \$6.16 and \$8.85, respectively. The weighted-average fair value of options granted below market value during 2002 was approximately \$10.77.

The effects of applying SFAS 123 in this pro-forma disclosure are not indicative of future amounts and additional awards are anticipated in future years.

We account for equity instruments issued to non-employees in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 123 and Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 96-18, *Accounting for Equity Instruments that are Issued to Other than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling Goods or Services.* During 2003, we issued a stock option to purchase 2,500 shares of Pixelworks stock to a consultant in exchange for past services provided. The fair value of the award was calculated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model and was expensed on the date of grant. The total award value of \$30 is included in selling, general and administrative expense in the accompanying statement of operations. There were no other equity instruments issued to non-employees during the periods presented.

Research and Development

Amounts paid for research and development activities are charged to expense as incurred.

Income Taxes

We account for income taxes under the asset and liability method. This approach requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the

expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between financial statement carrying amounts and tax bases of assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. We establish a valuation allowance in accordance with SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes* ("SFAS 109"), to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount expected more likely than not to be realized in future tax returns.

Tax contingencies are recorded to address potential exposures involving tax positions we have taken that could be challenged by taxing authorities. These potential exposures result from the varying applications of statutes, rules, regulations and interpretations. Our tax contingencies contain assumptions based on past experiences and judgments about potential actions by taxing jurisdictions. The ultimate resolution of these matters may be greater or less than the amount that we have accrued.

52

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

SFAS No. 130, *Reporting Comprehensive Income*, establishes standards for the reporting of comprehensive income and its components. We record unrealized holding gains and losses, net of tax, on our available-for-sale investments in accumulated other comprehensive income in accordance with SFAS 115. At December 31, 2004 the unrealized holding gain, net of tax, was \$531 and this was the only component of accumulated other comprehensive income. At December 31, 2003 there were no unrealized holding gains or losses on available-for-sale marketable securities, and there were no other items of accumulated other comprehensive income.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The fair value of our monetary assets and liabilities, including cash and cash equivalents, marketable securities, accounts receivable and accounts payable, approximates the carrying value due to the short-term nature of these instruments. The fair value of long-term debt was \$129,375 as of December 31, 2004, as compared to its carrying value of \$150,000. The fair value of long-term debt was based on the quoted market price of the debt.

Risks and Uncertainties

Concentration of Suppliers

We do not own or operate a semiconductor fabrication facility and do not have the resources to manufacture our products internally. We rely on four third-party foundries to produce all of our products and we do not have any long-term agreements with any of these suppliers. In light of these dependencies, it is reasonably possible that failure to perform by one of these suppliers could have a severe impact on our growth and results of operations.

Risk of Technological Change

The markets in which we compete or seek to compete are subject to rapid technological change, frequent new product introductions, changing customer requirements for new products and features and evolving industry standards. The introduction of new technologies and the emergence of new industry standards could render our products less desirable or obsolete, which could harm our business.

Concentrations of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject us to concentrations of credit risk consist of cash equivalents, short and long-term marketable securities and accounts receivable. We limit our exposure to credit risk associated with cash equivalent and marketable security balances by placing our funds in various high quality securities and limiting concentrations of issuers and maturity dates.

At December 31, 2004 two customers each accounted for more than 10% of total accounts receivable. At December 31, 2003, three customers each accounted for more than 10% of total accounts receivable. Failure to collect these balances would increase our operating expenses and reduce our cash flow.

NOTE 3. BALANCE SHEET COMPONENTS

Marketable Securities

Short-term marketable securities include investments classified as held-to-maturity and available-for-sale in accordance with SFAS 115 as follows:

53

	Decem	ber 31,
	2004	2003
Held-to-maturity	\$ 83,063	\$ 1,006
Available-for-sale	77,150	63,325
	\$160,213	\$ 64,331

Short-term held-to-maturity securities consist of the following:

December 31, 2004

	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value
US government agencies	\$ 68,068	\$ (15)	\$ 68,053
Municipal bonds	8,265	(21)	8,244
Commercial paper	5,493	(2)	5,491
Foreign government bonds	1,237	(10)	1,227

December 31, 2003

	Amortized	Unrealized	Fair
	Cost	Gain	Value
Corporate bonds	\$ 1,006	\$ 2	\$ 1,008

Auction rate securities comprise the entire balance of short-term available-for-sale securities at December 31, 2004 and 2003. There were no unrealized holding gains or losses on these investments at December 31, 2004 or 2003.

Long-term marketable securities include investments classified as held-to-maturity and available-for-sale, as follows:

	Decei	nber 31,
	2004	2003
Held-to-maturity	\$ 68,952	\$ 19,875
Available-for-sale	10,531	-
	\$ 79,483	\$ 19,875

Held-to-maturity long-term marketable securities consist of the following:

December 31, 2004

	Amortized Cost	realized in (Loss)	Fair Value
US government agencies	\$ 52,117	\$ (61)	\$ 52,056
US treasury bonds	12,390	29	12,419
Foreign government bonds	2,484	(34)	2,450
Municipal bonds	1,340	(4)	1,336
Corporate debentures	621	2	623
	\$ 68,952	\$ (68)	\$ 68,884

54

December 31, 2003

	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gain (Loss)	Fair Value
Municipal bonds	\$ 10,875	\$ (5)	\$ 10,870
US treasury securities	9,000	3	9,003
	\$ 19,875	\$ (2)	\$ 19,873

At December 31, 2004, maturities of held-to-maturity long-term marketable securities range from 1-4 years.

The long-term available-for-sale marketable security balance at December 31, 2004 is our investment in Semiconductor Manufacturing International Corporation ("SMIC") a Chinese wafer foundry. We made a \$10,000 investment in SMIC during the third quarter of 2003 and recorded the investment at cost in other long-term assets at December 31, 2003. In March of 2004, SMIC completed its initial public offering and as a result, we now account for the investment as an available-for-sale marketable security in accordance with SFAS 115. The investment is classified as a long-term marketable security at December 31, 2004 because we do not expect to sell any or all of the investment in SMIC in the next year.

Accounts Receivable, Net

Accounts receivable, net consists of the following:

	Decem	December 31,	
	2004	2003	
Accounts receivable, gross	\$ 14,817	\$ 8,680	
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(212)	(212)	
Accounts receivable, net	\$ 14,605	\$ 8,468	

During the year ended December 31, 2002 we recorded a provision for doubtful accounts of \$7. During the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003, the provision was \$0.

Inventories, Net

Inventories, net consists of the following:

	Decen	December 31,	
	2004	2003	
Finished goods	\$ 11,648	\$ 8,854	
Work-in-process	8,516	3,566	
	20,164	12,420	
Reserve for slow moving and obsolete items	(1,589)	(1,942)	
	\$ 18,575	\$ 10,478	

The following is a summary of the change in our reserve for slow moving and obsolete items:

		Year Ended December 31,		
		2004	2003	2002
Beginning balance		\$ 1,942	\$ 1,377	\$ 412
Provision		26	883	1,535
Charge offs		(379)	(318)	(570)
Ending balance		\$ 1,589	\$ 1,942	\$ 1,377
	55			

Property and Equipment, Net

Property and equipment, net consists of the following:

	Decemb	December 31,	
	2004	2003	
Software	\$ 16,385	\$ 9,811	
Equipment, furniture and fixtures	11,681	7,597	
Tooling	4,349	3,378	
Leasehold improvements	1,778	992	
	34,193	21,778	
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(21,749)	(15,217)	
	\$ 12,444	\$ 6,561	

Software amortization was \$3,160, \$2,136 and \$1,844 for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

Acquired Intangible Assets, Net

Acquired intangible assets, net consist of the following:

December 31, 2004

	Amount	Amortization
Developed technology Assembled workforce	\$ 3,700 1,577	\$ 1,543 1,214
<u>December 31, 2003</u>		
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization
Developed technology Assembled workforce	\$ 3,700 1,577	\$ 1,014 728

Gross

Accumulated

Amortization of developed technology was \$529 for both of the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003. Amortization of developed technology was \$485 for the year ended December 31, 2002.

Amortization of the assembled workforce asset was \$486 for both of the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003, and \$242 for the year ended December 31, 2002.

Amortization of developed technology will be \$529 for the years ending December 31, 2005, 2006, 2007 and 2008. Amortization of developed technology will be \$41 for the year ending December 31, 2009. Amortization of the assembled workforce will be \$363 for the year ending December 31, 2005.

Other Assets, Net

	December 31,					
	2004		2003			
Licensed technology, net	\$	7,084	\$	1,158		
Other		1,017		1,353		
Investment in SMIC		-		10,000		
	\$	8,101	\$	12,511		

Goodwill

Goodwill decreased during 2004 due to the release of valuation allowance established against deferred tax assets acquired from nDSP in 2002 and Panstera in 2001, and due to the release and cancellation of shares held in escrow from the nDSP acquisition. The carrying amount of goodwill did not change during 2003.

Accrued Liabilities

Accrued liabilities consist of the following:

	December 31,			31,
	2004			2003
Accrued payroll and related liabilities	\$	4,586	\$	3,502
Current portion of accrued liabilities for equipment and other asset financing		3,185		14
Accrued commissions and royalties		719		656
Reserve for sales returns and allowances		524		202
Reserve for warranty returns		419		569
Accrued manufacturing liabilities		477		1,179
Accrued interest payable		335		-
Other		2,597		2,460
	\$	12,842	\$	8,582

The following is a summary of the change in our reserve for sales returns and allowances:

		Year Ended December 31,				
	20	004	2003	2002		
Beginning balance	\$	202	\$ 588	\$ 673		
Provision		977	1,654	541		
Charge offs		(655)	(2,040)	(626)		
Ending balance	\$	524	\$ 202	\$ 588		

The following is a summary of the change in our warranty reserve:

		Year Ended December 31,				
		2004		2003		
Beginning balance		\$ 569	\$	769	\$ 978	
Provision		241		18	556	
Charge offs		(391)		(218)	(765)	
Ending balance		\$ 419	\$	569	\$ 769	
	57					

Long-Term Debt and Debt Issuance Costs

On May 18, 2004, we issued \$125,000 of convertible subordinated debentures ("the debentures") due 2024 in a private offering pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933 and outside of the United States in accordance with Regulation S under the Securities Act. On June 4, 2004, we issued an additional \$25,000 of debentures pursuant to the exercise of an option granted to the initial purchasers. We intend to use the net proceeds from this offering for general corporate purposes, including potential acquisitions. The debentures have been registered with the SEC for resale under the Securities Act of 1933.

The debentures bear interest at a rate of 1.75% per annum and interest is payable on May 15 and November 15 of each year, beginning November 15, 2004. The debentures are convertible, under certain circumstances, into our common stock at a conversion rate of 41.0627 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of debentures for a total of 6,159,405 shares. This is equivalent to a conversion price of approximately \$24.35 per share. The debentures are convertible if (a) during any calendar quarter, the market price of our common stock exceeds 130% of the conversion price per share for at least 20 trading days during the period of 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the previous calendar quarter, (b) the trading price of the debentures

declines to less than 98% of the product of the closing sale price of our common stock and the number of shares issuable upon conversion of \$1,000 principal amount of the debentures for five consecutive trading days, (c) a call for redemption occurs, or (d) in the event of certain other specified corporate transactions. We may redeem some or all of the debentures for cash on or after May 15, 2011 at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the debentures plus accrued and unpaid interest. The holders of the debentures have the right to require us to purchase all or a portion of their debentures on May 15, 2011, May 15, 2014 and May 15, 2019 at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest.

The debentures are unsecured obligations and are subordinated in right of payment to all of our existing and future senior debt and effectively subordinated to all existing and future debt of our subsidiaries. At December 31, 2004, we had no senior debt outstanding and our subsidiaries had approximately \$1,358 of liabilities to which the debentures were effectively subordinated.

The fees associated with the issuance of the convertible debentures included \$4,500 withheld from the proceeds and \$455 paid in cash. The fees have been capitalized and are included in long term assets on the balance sheet. The fees are being amortized over a period of 7 years. Total amortization of debt issuance costs during the year ended December 31, 2004 was \$472.

NOTE 4. EARNINGS PER SHARE

We calculate earnings per share in accordance with SFAS No. 128, *Earnings per Share*. Basic earnings per share amounts are computed based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding, and includes exchangeable shares. These exchangeable shares, which were issued on September 6, 2002 by Jaldi, our Canadian subsidiary, to its shareholders in connection with the Jaldi asset acquisition, have characteristics essentially equivalent to Pixelworks' common stock.

Diluted weighted average shares outstanding includes the increased number of common shares that would be outstanding assuming the exercise of certain stock options and the vesting of certain restricted stock, when such exercise or vesting would have the effect of reducing earnings per share. In the fourth quarter of 2004, we adopted Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 04-8, *The Effect of Contingently Convertible Debt on Diluted Earnings per Share.* As a result, diluted weighted average shares outstanding also includes the increased number of common shares that would be outstanding assuming the conversion of our convertible subordinated debentures, using the if-converted method, when such conversion would have the effect of reducing earnings per share.

The following schedule reconciles basic and diluted weighted average shares outstanding for the year ended December 31, 2004:

58

Basic weighted average shares outstanding	46,672,766
Incremental shares related to conversion of long-term debt	3,772,495
Incremental shares related to stock options	1,604,200
Incremental shares related to restricted stock	12,397
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding	52,061,858

The following schedule reconciles net income used in the calculation of basic earnings per share to net income used in the calculation of diluted earnings per share for the year ended December 31, 2004:

Net income used in calculating basic net income per share	\$ 21,781
Add: Interest expense and amortization of debt issuance costs, net of tax	1,522
Net income used in calculating diluted net income per share	\$ 23,303

Because of our net loss position, the following incremental shares are excluded from diluted weighted average shares outstanding for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002:

	Decemb	
	2003	2002
Incremental shares related to stock options Incremental shares related to restricted stock	1,237,281 99,001	1,334,356 91,650

The following weighted average outstanding stock options are also excluded from the computation of diluted net income or loss per share for the periods presented because the options' exercise prices were greater than the average market value of Pixelworks' common stock, which has the effect of making the shares anti-dilutive:

	1 car i	Ended December	31,
	2004	2003	2002
Weighted average options outstanding with exercise prices in excess of average market value	2,262,702	3,009,257	3,199,252

NOTE 5. INCOME TAXES

Domestic and foreign pre-tax income (loss) is as follows:

Year Ended December 31,	
-------------------------	--

Voor Ended December 21

Year Ended

	2004	2003	2002
Domestic	\$ 28,891	\$ (2,166)	\$ (19,971)
Foreign	880	729	-
	\$ 29,771	\$ (1,437)	\$ (19,971)

Income tax expense (benefit) attributable to continuing operations is comprised of the following:

59

	Year Ended December 3				
	2004		2003	2002	
Current:					
Federal	\$ 8,391	\$	12	\$ 1,346	
State	457		8	180	
Foreign	243		241	-	
Total current	9,091		261	1,526	
Deferred:					
Federal	(161)		(627)	(572)	
State	(940)		(541)	(74)	
Total deferred	(1,101)		(1,168)	(646)	
Income tax expense (benefit)	\$ 7,990	\$	(907)	\$ 880	

A portion of income tax expense (benefit) has been allocated as follows:

	Year Ended December 31				
_	2004	2003	2002		
\$	(1,110)	\$ -	\$ (930)		
	(4,485)	-	(1,357)		

The significant differences between the U.S. federal statutory tax rate and our effective tax rate for financial statement purposes are as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2004	2003	2002
Computed expected provision for (recovery of) income taxes	35%	(34)%	(34)%
Increase (decrease) resulting from:			
Research and experimentation credit	(7)	(65)	(5)
Amortization of acquired intellectual property, workforce in place and deferred tax charge	(1)	(19)	-
State income taxes, net of federal benefit	1	(8)	1
Tax exempt interest	(1)	-	-
Change in valuation allowance	(1)	81	-
Difference between financial and tax reporting for stock option exercises	`-	(12)	-
In-process research and development	-	-	41
Other	1	(6)	1
Actual tax (benefit) expense	27%	(63)%	4%

The tax effects of temporary differences and net operating loss carry forwards which give rise to significant portions of deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities are as follows:

60

	Decem	ber 31,
	2004	2003
Deferred tax assets:		
Net operating loss carryforwards	\$ 7,867	\$ 10,809
Research and experimentation credit carryforwards	7,020	5,942
Accrued vacation	446	375
Reserves and accrued expenses	1,283	1,334
Deferred compensation	35	1,028
Depreciation	=	553
Other	419	239
Total gross deferred tax assets	17,070	20,280
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Amortization	(919)	(1,331)
Depreciation	(563)	_

Total gross deferred tax liabilities	(1,482)	(1,331)
Less: valuation allowance	(8,990)	(13,452)
Net deferred tax assets	\$ 6,598	\$ 5,497

The current portion of deferred tax assets of \$1,730 and \$1,803 at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively, are included in prepaid expenses and other current assets in the consolidated balance sheets.

We have established a valuation allowance for certain deferred tax assets, including net operating loss and tax credit carry forwards. SFAS 109 requires that a valuation allowance be recorded when it is more likely than not that some portion of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Although realization of the remaining net deferred tax assets is not assured, we believe that it is more likely than not that net deferred tax assets without a valuation allowance will be realized. We consider projected future taxable income, the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities and tax planning strategies when making this assessment. The net change in the total valuation allowance for the year ended December 31, 2004 was a decrease of \$4,462, of which \$418, \$2,304 and \$1,740 was allocated to the provision for income taxes, shareholders' equity and goodwill, respectively. The net change in the total valuation allowance for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 was an increase of \$1,692 and \$4,371 respectively.

Certain subsequently recognized tax benefits related to the valuation allowance for deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2004 will be allocated as a reduction to goodwill in the amount of approximately \$8,577.

As of December 31, 2004, we have federal, state and foreign net operating loss carry forwards of approximately \$14,764, \$24,254 and \$6,432, respectively, which will expire between the years 2007 and 2022. As of December 31, 2004, we have generated federal, state and foreign research and experimentation credit carry forwards of approximately \$4,259, \$3,255 and \$500, respectively, which will expire between the years 2005 and 2024. Utilization of acquired net operating loss and credit carry forwards are subject to certain annual limitations when there is a change of more than 50% ownership. Such a change occurred with the acquisitions of nDSP and Jaldi during 2002.

We have undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries of approximately \$3,457 at December 31, 2004, for which deferred taxes have not been provided. Such earnings are considered indefinitely invested outside of the United States. If repatriated, some of these earnings could generate foreign tax credits that may reduce the federal tax liability associated with any future foreign dividend.

In December 2004, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. 109-2, Accounting and Disclosure Guidance for the Foreign Earnings Repatriation Provision within the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 ("FSP 109-2"). FSP 109-2 provides guidance for recording the potential impact of the repatriation provisions of the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 (the "Jobs Act") on an entity's income tax expense and deferred tax liability. FSP 109-2 states that an entity is

61

allowed time beyond the financial reporting period of enactment to evaluate the effect of the Jobs Act on its plan for reinvestment or repatriation of foreign earnings for purposes of applying SFAS 109. The Jobs Act was enacted on October 22, 2004. While we have not yet completed our evaluation of the impact of the provisions of FSP 109-2, we currently believe that it will not have a material impact on our financial statements. Accordingly, as provided for in FSP 109-2, we have not adjusted tax expense or recorded a deferred tax liability to reflect the repatriation provisions of the Jobs Act.

NOTE 6. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Royalties

We license technology from third-parties and have agreed to pay certain suppliers a per unit royalty based on either the number of chips sold or manufactured, or the net sales price of the chips containing the licensed technology. We recorded \$2,493, \$1,798 and \$826 in royalty expense for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

401(k) Plan

We have a profit-sharing plan for eligible employees under the provisions of Internal Revenue Code Section 401(k). Participants may defer a percentage of their annual compensation on a pre-tax basis, not to exceed the dollar limit that is set by law. A discretionary matching contribution by the Company is allowed and is equal to a uniform percentage of the amount of salary reduction elected to be deferred, which percentage will be determined each year by the Company. The Company made no contributions to the 401(k) plan during 2004, 2003 or 2002.

Leases

We lease office space and office equipment under operating leases that expire at various dates through 2009. Future minimum payments under the leases are as follows:

Year Ending December 31,

2005	\$
2006	
2007	
2008	
2009	

Minimum lease payments above are net of sublease rentals of \$95 and \$40 for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2006, respectively. Rent expense for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 was \$2,942, \$2,817 and \$2,330, respectively.

Contract Manufacturers

In the normal course of business, we commit to purchase products from our contract manufacturers to be delivered within the next 90 days. In certain situations, should we cancel an order, we could be required to pay cancellation fees. Such obligations could impact our immediate results of operations but

would not materially affect our business.

Indemnifications

Certain of our software license agreements include limited indemnification provisions for claims from third-parties relating to our intellectual property. Such indemnification provisions are accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*. The indemnification is limited to the amount paid by the customer. As of December 31, 2004, we have not incurred any material liabilities arising from these indemnifications, however in the future, such obligations could impact our immediate results of operations but would not materially affect our business.

62

NOTE 7. SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

Preferred Stock

The Company is authorized to issue 50,000,000 shares of preferred stock with a par value of \$.001 per share. The Board of Directors is authorized to fix or alter the rights, preferences, privileges and restrictions granted to, or imposed on, each series of preferred stock.

As of December 31, 2004 and 2003, there is one series of preferred stock designated as the Special Voting Share Series. As of December 31, 2004 and 2003, there is one voting share issued and outstanding. The series was designated and the share was issued in 2002 in connection with our Jaldi asset acquisition. The voting share entitles the holders of exchangeable shares (see below) to vote on any matters that come before the Pixelworks common shareholders.

The holder of the voting share is not entitled to receive dividends. In the event of any dissolution of the Company, the holder of the voting share is entitled to be paid out of the net assets of the Company an amount equal to \$0.001, before any payment is made to the holders of common stock.

Common Stock

The Company is authorized to issue 250,000,000 shares of common stock with a par value of \$.001 per share. Shareholders of common stock have unlimited voting rights and are entitled to receive the net assets of the corporation upon dissolution, subject to the rights of the preferred shareholders.

Exchangeable Shares

In connection with the Jaldi asset acquisition, Jaldi issued 1,731,099 exchangeable shares to its shareholders. The voting share described above is held in trust for the benefit of the holders of the exchangeable shares and provides the holders of the exchangeable shares with dividend, voting and other rights equivalent to those of Pixelworks' common shareholders. These exchangeable shares are the economic equivalent of Pixelworks' common shares, and may be exchanged at any time for Pixelworks common stock on a one-for-one basis.

Note Receivable for Common Stock

During 1999, options to purchase 305,937 shares of common stock were exchanged for 305,937 shares of common stock subject to vesting in exchange for a note receivable. The note receivable was due and payable the earlier of (i) August 31, 2008 or (ii) upon termination of the borrower's employment. Interest payments at the rate of 6% were due and payable annually. The note was secured by the shares of common stock issued thereunder. The note was paid in full in 2002 and as of December 31, 2003 all shares were fully vested.

Stock Option Plans

Under our 1997 Stock Incentive Plan and 2001 Nonqualified Stock Option Plan (the "option plans"), 18,340,116 and 4,000,000 stock options, respectively, may be granted. Options granted under the plans must generally be exercised while the individual is an employee and within ten years of the date of grant. The new-hire vesting schedule provides that each option becomes exercisable at a rate of 25% on the first anniversary date of the grant, and 2.08% on the last day of every month thereafter for a total of thirty-six additional increments. The merit vesting schedule provides that options become exercisable monthly for a period of four years, with 10% becoming exercisable in the first year, 20% becoming exercisable in the second year, 30% becoming exercisable in the third year and 40% becoming exercisable in the fourth year.

The following is a summary of stock option activity:

63

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Options outstanding at December 31, 2001	4,102,438	7.73
Granted at market	2,231,102	10.62
Granted below market	729,500	10.25
Exchanged in acquisition	118,858	2.07
Exercised	(635,766)	0.76
Canceled	_(731,438)	8.23
Options outstanding at December 31, 2002	5,814,694	9.74
Granted at market	1,592,099	7.52
Exercised	(650,766)	2.76
Canceled	_(484,288)	10.20
Options outstanding at December 31, 2003	6,271,739	9.86
Granted at market	2,595,005	14.03
Exercised	(809,966)	4.91
Canceled	(531,643)	12.82

The following table summarizes information about options outstanding at December 31, 2004:

	Options Outstanding			Options Ex	ercisable
Range of Exercise Prices	Number Outstanding at December 31, 2004	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable at December 31, 2004	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$ 0.17 -\$ 6.25	968,832	5.71	\$ 2.99	735,060	\$ 2.12
6.30 - 7.57	1,014,189	8.28	7.05	316,394	7.15
7.62 - 9.22	955,357	7.97	8.73	326,622	8.53
9.26 - 10.48	842,247	7.62	10.10	509,622	10.15
10.50 - 12.53	875,253	8.45	11.83	289,234	12.19
12.56 - 14.84	866,996	9.19	14.63	98,517	13.99
14.90 - 16.50	967,345	8.08	15.95	334,733	16.29
16.60 - 23.25	838,912	7.86	19.18	362,583	21.36
23.44 - 39.00	196,004	6.00	30.75	186,478	30.86
\$ 0.17 -\$ 39.00	7,525,135	7.83	\$ 11.63	3,159,243	\$ 11.28

At December 31, 2003, there was a total of 2,804,710 options exercisable with a weighted average exercise price of \$9.54. At December 31, 2002 there was a total of 1,683,963 options exercisable with a weighted average exercise price of \$6.46.

As of December 31, 2004, 3,308,107 shares were available for grant under the option plans.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

At December 31, 2004, a total of 1,500,000 shares of common stock have been reserved for issuance under the Employee Stock Purchase Plan ("ESPP"). Beginning in 2005, the number of shares available for issuance under the ESPP will increase each year in an amount equal to the lesser of (i) the number of shares of common stock issued pursuant to the ESPP during the immediately preceding fiscal year of the

Company, (ii) two percent of the outstanding shares of common stock on the first day of the Company's fiscal year for which the increase is being made or (iii) a lesser amount determined by the Board of Directors. During the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, the Company issued 211,795, 220,203 and 128,667 shares under the ESPP plan for proceeds of approximately \$1,133, \$1,141 and \$887, respectively. As of December 31, 2004, there are 848,742 shares available for issuance under the plan.

NOTE 8. SEGMENT INFORMATION

In accordance with SFAS No. 131, Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information, we have identified a single operating segment: the design and development of integrated circuits for electronic display devices. Substantially all of our assets are located in the U.S.

Geographic Information

Revenue by geographic region, attributed to countries based on the domicile of the customer, was as follows:

	Ye	Year Ended December 31,		
	2004	2003	2002	
Japan	\$ 70,749	\$ 58,597	\$ 49,278	
Taiwan	36,766	33,843	17,738	
China	30,587	17,930	6,457	
Europe	14,342	8,302	5,370	
Korea	14,032	14,428	11,901	
U.S.	2,265	1,928	2,411	
Other	7,470	5,893	9,486	
	\$176,211	\$140,921	\$102,641	

Significant Customers

Sales to distributors represented 69%, 69% and 68% of total revenue for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively. The following distributors accounted for 10% or more of total revenue for the periods presented:

	Year	Year Ended December 31,		
	2004	2003	2002	
Distributor A	31%	39%	45%	
Distributor B	13%	16%	12%	

Sales to our top five end customers represented 33%, 35% and 41% of total revenue for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively. For

the year ended December 31, 2002, one end customer represented 10% of total revenue. There were no end customers that represented 10% or more of total revenue for the years ended December 31, 2004 or 2003. End customers include customers who purchase directly from the Company, as well as customers who purchase the Company's products indirectly through distributors and contract manufacturers.

The following accounts represented 10% or more of total accounts receivable:

65

	De	cember 31,
	2004	2003
Account A	26%	20%
Account B	11%	0%
Account C	8%	33%
Account D	3%	11%

NOTE 9. RESTRUCTURING

In September 2003, we initiated a restructuring to better position the Company to compete in the advanced television market. The restructuring included the discontinuation of research and development efforts related to two products.

As a result of these actions, we determined that certain tangible and intangible assets related to the discontinued development efforts were permanently impaired because there were no alternate uses for them. Impaired assets included tooling, licensed technology and prepaid royalties.

The restructuring also resulted in a reduction-in-force of 28 employees during the fourth quarter of 2003. These employees were given one-time severance benefits, which were expensed and paid in the fourth quarter of 2003 on the date of employee communication.

We also subleased approximately 4,000 square feet of our California office as a result of the restructuring. We included the present value of the difference between the future minimum lease payments and the non-cancelable sublease rentals in restructuring expense during the fourth quarter of 2003. The lease liability remaining at December 31, 2004 and 2003 is included in accrued liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets.

The total costs associated with the restructuring were as follows:

\$3,927
916
188
18
\$5,049

We did not incur any costs related to this restructuring in 2004 and will not incur any additional costs related to this restructuring in future periods.

NOTE 10. GENESIS MICROCHIP TRANSACTION

On March 17, 2003, we announced the execution of a definitive merger agreement with Genesis Microchip. On August 5, 2003, we entered into an agreement terminating the merger agreement. In the termination agreement, we agreed to pay a termination fee of \$5,500 to Genesis Microchip. The fee was payable upon execution of the termination agreement, and was recognized as an expense in the third quarter of 2003. Total expenses related to the proposed merger during the year ended December 31, 2003 were \$8,949.

NOTE 11. ACQUISITIONS

Jaldi

On January 30, 2001, we made an investment of \$7,500 in Jaldi, a development stage semiconductor company. On September 6, 2002, we acquired the remaining equity interest in Jaldi in exchange for an undertaking to issue 1,731,099 shares of Pixelworks' common stock upon the exchange of Jaldi exchangeable shares plus the assumption of all outstanding Jaldi stock options. The acquisition was aimed at expanding our product offerings and increasing revenue growth. The acquisition was accounted for as an asset purchase and the results of Jaldi's operations are included in Pixelworks' financial statements beginning on September 6, 2002.

66

The total purchase price of \$24,988 was allocated to assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on management's analysis and estimates of fair values. Assets acquired included in-process research and development ("IPR&D") valued at \$20,142 and an assembled workforce valued at \$2,909. The IPR&D was expensed on the date of the acquisition in accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 4 ("FIN 4"), Applicability of FASB Statement No. 2 to Business Combinations Accounted for by the Purchase Method. Jaldi had two products under development at the acquisition date contributing 70% and 30% of the total IPR&D value. As of the acquisition date, the development projects ranged from 70% to 90% complete. Since the date of the acquisition, both of the products in development have been completed.

nDSP

On January 14, 2002, we acquired all of the outstanding shares of nDSP, a fabless semiconductor company, in exchange for 1,185,995 shares of Pixelworks' common stock. The acquisition was accounted for using the purchase method of accounting and the results of nDSP's operations are included in Pixelworks' financial statements beginning on January 14, 2002.

The total purchase price of \$20,971 was allocated to assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on management's analysis and estimates of fair values.

Assets acquired include IPR&D valued at \$4,200 and acquired developed technology valued at \$3,700. The IPR&D was expensed on the date of the acquisition in accordance with FIN 4. nDSP had three products under development at the acquisition date, each contributing from 7% to 64% of the total IPR&D value. As of the acquisition date, the development projects ranged from 20% to 80% complete. Since the date of the acquisition, two of the products in development have been completed. Development of the third product was discontinued, however the video and de-interlacing technology from this product was integrated into another Pixelworks product.

The excess purchase price over the identifiable tangible and intangible assets was \$14,371 and was allocated to goodwill. The acquisition was aimed at expanding our product offerings and driving revenue growth and this supported the premium paid over the fair value of the assets acquired. The goodwill is not expected to be deductible for tax purposes.

NOTE 12. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)

	March 31, 2004	June 30, 2004	September 30, 2004	December 31, 2004
Revenue	\$45,270	\$48,509	\$ 43,970	\$ 38,462
Gross profit	23,695	23,382	22,074	17,405
Income from operations	9,924	8,810	7,184	2,111
Income before income taxes	10,159	8,929	7,822	2,861
Net income	6,553	5,759	5,449	4,020
Net income per share:				
Basic	0.14	0.12	0.12	0.09
Diluted	0.14	0.12	0.11	0.08
	March 31, 2003	June 30, 2003	September 30, 2003	December 31, 2003
Revenue	\$32,005	\$32,559	\$ 35,516	\$ 40,841
Gross profit	14,713	14,679	15,063	18,938
Income (loss) from operations	594	470	(6,942)	3,264
Income (loss) before income taxes	973	771	(6,695)	3,514
Net income (loss)	248	420	(4,141)	2,943
Net income (loss) per share, basic and diluted	0.01	0.01	(0.09)	0.06

NOTE 13. RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENT

In December 2004, the FASB issued FASB Statement No. 123 (Revised), *Share Based Payment*. This revision replaced the existing SFAS No. 123 to no longer allow public companies to apply the intrinsic value based method of accounting for stock compensation described in Opinion 25. Under the revised statement, public entities must measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award. That cost must be recognized over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award (usually the vesting period). The statement also requires the recognition of compensation expense for the fair value of any unvested stock option awards outstanding at the date of adoption over the remaining vesting period. The statement may be adopted using a modified prospective or modified retrospective approach, and it is effective for the first interim or annual reporting period beginning after June 15, 2005. While we are still in the process of determining how we will adopt the statement and what the impact will be on our financial statements, we do expect it to have an adverse effect on our consolidated statements of operations and earnings per share.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

(a) Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, we conducted an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Based on this evaluation, and due to the existence of a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2004, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of December 31, 2004, our disclosure controls and procedures were not adequate to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms. This material weakness resulted from the inadequate design of internal control related to management's review of the Company's accounting for income taxes and related disclosures (as described below in Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting). No other material weaknesses were identified.

(b) Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining a system of internal control over financial reporting as defined under Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f). Our internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations.

We conducted an assessment of the effectiveness of our system of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2004. In conducting this assessment, we used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in *Internal Control*— *Integrated Framework*. As a result of our assessment, we identified a material weakness in internal control over financial reporting which resulted from the

inadequate design of internal control related to management's review of the Company's accounting for income taxes and related disclosures.

The aforementioned deficiency in the design of internal control relating to the adequacy of management's review of the Company's accounting for income taxes could have resulted in a material misstatement of income tax amounts reported in our annual or interim consolidated financial statements and related disclosures. A material weakness is a control deficiency that results in a more than remote likelihood that a material misstatement of financial statements will not be prevented or detected. As a result of the aforementioned material weakness, we concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was not effective as of December 31, 2004.

KPMG LLP has audited and issued their report on our assessment of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2004. KPMG LLP's report appears below.

(c) Changes to Internal Controls

In response to the material weakness discussed above, during the first quarter of 2005, we strengthened our internal controls over the review of our income tax accounting procedures by significantly increasing the scope of our outside tax expert's review engagement, and by formalizing policies and procedures to ensure appropriate communication and follow up of comments noted by expert reviewers.

There were no changes to our internal control over financial reporting during the fourth quarter of 2004 that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

68

(d) Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders Pixelworks, Inc.:

We have audited management's assessment, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting (Item 9A(d)), that Pixelworks Inc. (the "Company"), did not maintain effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2004, because of the effect of the material weakness identified in management's assessment, based on criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management's assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

A material weakness is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected. The following material weakness has been identified and included in management's assessment: As of December 31, 2004, management identified a material weakness in internal control over financial reporting which resulted from the inadequate design of internal control related to management's review of the Company's accounting for income taxes and related disclosures. This deficiency in internal control over financial reporting could have resulted in a material misstatement of income tax amounts reported in the Company's annual or interim consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

69

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Pixelworks, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2004 and 2003, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2004. The aforementioned material weakness was considered in determining the nature, timing, and extent of audit tests applied in our audit of the 2004 consolidated financial statements, and this report does not affect our report dated March 15, 2005, which expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

In our opinion, management's assessment that the Company did not maintain effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2004, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by COSO. Also, in our opinion, because of the effect of the material weakness described above on the achievement of the objectives of the control criteria, the Company has not maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2004, based on criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by COSO.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Item 9B. Other Information

None

PART III

Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant

Information concerning the Directors of the Company is set forth in the Company's proxy statement for its 2005 annual meeting of shareholders (the "2005 Proxy Statement") and is incorporated herein by reference.

Information concerning the Executive Officers of the Company is set forth in the 2005 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

Information with respect to Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act is set forth in the 2005 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

Information relating to the audit committee financial expert, the identification of the audit committee of our Board of Directors and procedures for security holders to recommend nominees to our Board of Directors is contained in our 2005 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

We have adopted a written code of ethics that applies to our CEO, senior financial officers, financial vice presidents, directors and managers and disclosure committee members. We have also adopted a written code of business conduct and ethics that applies to all of our employees, officers and directors. Each code is available on our Web site at www.pixelworks.com. Any person may request a copy of either code by writing to us at the following address:

Pixelworks, Inc. Investor Relations 8100 SW Nyberg Road Tualatin, Oregon 97062

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Information with respect to executive compensation is included in the 2005 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

70

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholders Matters

Information with respect to security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management is included in the 2005 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

Information with respect to equity compensation plans is included in the 2005 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

Information with respect to certain relationships and related transactions with management is included in the 2005 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

Information with respect to principal accounting fees and services is included in the 2005 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

(a) 1. Financial Statements

The following financial statements are included in Item 8:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2004 and 2003
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(a) 2. Financial Statement Schedules

All schedules have been omitted as they are either not required or the information is otherwise included.

(a) 3. Exhibits

The exhibits are either filed with this report or incorporated by reference into this report.

Exhibit Number	Description
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger dated as of December 13, 2000 among Pixelworks, Inc., Panther Acquisition, Inc., Panstera, Inc. and those certain shareholders of Panstera, Inc. signatories thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 13, 2001).
2.2	Amendment to Agreement and Plan of Merger dated as of January 26, 2001 among Pixelworks Inc., Panther Acquisition, Inc. and Panstera, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 13, 2001).
2.3	Agreement and Plan of Merger and Reorganization dated as of December 6, 2001 among Pixelworks, Inc., Nighthawk Acquisition Corp. and those certain shareholders of nDSP Delaware, Inc. who are signatories
	71
Exhibit	
Number	Thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 29, 2002).
2.4	
2.4	Reorganization Agreement among Pixelworks, Inc., Pixelworks Nova Scotia Company, Certain Shareholders of Jaldi Semiconductor Corp. and Jaldi Semiconductor Corp. dated August 2, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on October 15, 2002).
2.5	Jaldi Semiconductor, Inc. Exchangeable Share Provisions (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on October 15, 2002).
2.6	Exchangeable Share Support Agreement among Jaldi Semiconductor Corp., Pixelworks, Inc., Pixelworks Nova Scotia and Jaldi Semiconductor Corp. dated September 6, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on October 15, 2002).
2.7	Voting and Exchange Trust Agreement among Jaldi Semiconductor Corp., Pixelworks, Inc., Pixelworks Nova Scotia Company and CIBC Mellon Trust Company, dated September 6, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.4 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on October 15, 2002).
2.8	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of March 17, 2003 among Pixelworks, Inc., Display Acquisition Corp. and Genesis Microchip Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 20, 2003).
2.9	Form of Pixelworks Voting Agreement, dated as of March 17, 2003 by and among each of the directors of Pixelworks Inc. and Genesis Microchip Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 20, 2003).
2.10	Form of Genesis Voting Agreement, dated as of March 17, 2003 by and among each of the directors of Genesis Microchip Inc. and Pixelworks Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 20, 2003).
3.1	Sixth Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Pixelworks, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 declared effective May 19, 2000).
3.2	Articles of Amendment to Sixth Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Pixelworks Inc., as filed with the Secretary of State of Oregon on September 6, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.5 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on October 15, 2002).
3.3	First Restated Bylaws of Pixelworks, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 declared effective May 19, 2000).
3.4	Sixth Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Pixelworks, Inc., As Amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 9, 2004).
4.1	Reference is made to Exhibit 3.1 above (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 declared effective May 19, 2000).
4.2	Third Amended Registration Rights Agreement dated February 22, 2000 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 declared effective May 19, 2000).
4.3	Indenture dated May 18, 2004 between Pixelworks, Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 9, 2004).
4.4	Form of 1.75% Convertible Subordinated Debentures due 2024 dated May 18, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed August 9, 2004).
	72
Exhibit	Description:
Number	Description

4.5	Registration Rights Agreement, dated May 18, 2004 among Pixelworks, Inc., Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and D.A. Davidson & Co. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed August 9, 2004).
4.6	Purchase Agreement, dated May 12, 2004 among Pixelworks, Inc. and Citigroup Global Markets Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed August 9, 2004).
10.1	Form of Indemnity Agreement between Pixelworks, Inc. and each of its Officers and Directors (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 declared effective May 19, 2000). +
10.2	Registration Rights Agreement dated as of December 6, 2001 among Pixelworks, Inc., nDSP Delaware, Inc. and those certain shareholders of nDSP Delaware, Inc. who are signatories thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 29, 2002).
10.3	Sublease Agreement Dated September 7, 2001 between Epicor Software Corporation and Pixelworks Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 25, 2002).
10.4	2001 Nonqualified Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed on May 31, 2001). +
10.5	Lease Agreement Dated April 14, 1999 between Southcenter III and IV Investors LLC and Pixelworks, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 declared effective May 19, 2000).
10.6	VAutomation Incorporated Synthesizable Soft Core Agreement dated November 4, 1997 between VAutomation Incorporated and Pixelworks, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 declared effective May 19, 2000).
10.7	Intellectual Property Sublicense Agreement dated March 30, 1999 between VAutomation Incorporated and Pixelworks, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 declared effective May 19, 2000).
10.8	License Agreement dated February 22, 2000 between Pixelworks, Inc. and InFocus Systems, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 declared effective May 19, 2000).
10.9	Employment Agreement between Jeffrey B. Bouchard and Pixelworks, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 declared effective May 19, 2000). +
10.10	Shareholders Agreement dated as of January 15, 2001 among Pixelworks, Inc., Panstera, Inc., and those certain shareholders of Panstera, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 13, 2001).
10.11	Third Amendment to Lease dated March 1, 2002 between Copper Mountain Trust Corporation and Pixelworks, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 25, 2002).
10.12	Form of Pixelworks, Inc. Change of Control Severance Agreement dated March 14, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 filed on April 18, 2003). +
10.13	Change of Control Severance Agreement dated March 14, 2003 between Jeffrey Bouchard and Pixelworks, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 filed on April 18, 2003). +
	73

Exhibit Number	Description
10.14	Change of Control Severance Agreement dated March 14, 2003 between Hans Olsen and Pixelworks, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 filed on April 18, 2003). +
10.15	Relocation Agreement between Hans Olsen and Pixelworks, Inc. effective as of the date executed by both parties (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 filed on April 18, 2003).
10.16	Fourth Amendment to lease dated June 23, 2003 between Pixelworks, Inc. and Quest Group Trust VI (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 14, 2003).
10.17	Fifth Amendment to lease dated February 18, 2004 between Pixelworks, Inc. and Quest Group Trust VI (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on May 7, 2004).
10.18	First Amendment to lease dated February 2004 between Pixelworks, Inc. and Epicor Software Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on May 7, 2004).
10.19	First Amendment of Lease between Pixelworks, Inc. and Southcenter III & IV Investors LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 9, 2004).
10.20	Pixelworks, Inc. 1997 Stock Incentive Plan, As Amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 9, 2004).
10.21	Pixelworks, Inc. 2000 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, As Amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 9, 2004).

10.22	Pixelworks, Inc. 2004 Senior Management Bonus Plan. +			
21	Subsidiaries of Pixelworks, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 21 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A filed on May 30, 2003).			
23	Consent of KPMG LLP.			
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer.			
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer.			
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer.			
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer.			
+ Indicates a management contract or compensation arrangement.				

74

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Sections 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

PIXELWORKS, INC.

/s/ Allen H. Alley Dated: March 15, 2005 Allen H. Alley Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature /s/ Allen H. Alley Allen H. Alley	Title Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer	Date March 15, 2005
/s/ Jeffrey B. Bouchard Jeffrey B. Bouchard	Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer	March 15, 2005
/s/ Oliver D. Curme Oliver D. Curme	Director	March 15, 2005
/s/ C. Scott Gibson C. Scott Gibson	Director	March 15, 2005
/s/ Frank Gill Frank Gill	Director	March 15, 2005
/s/ Steven J. Sharp Steven J. Sharp	Director	March 15, 2005

Pixelworks, Inc.

2004 Senior Management Bonus Plan

Bonuses for executive officers of the Company are calculated based on attainment of planned levels of revenue, pro forma operating income and net income in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"), as well as attainment of specified product goals. Each of the goals is weighted as follows:

Revenue	25.0%
Pro Forma Operating Income	12.5%
GAAP Net Income	12.5%
Operational Goals	50.0%
•	
	100.0%

If all goals are attained, bonuses are calculated as a percentage of each executive officer's salary, as follows:

President and Chief Executive Officer	100%
Chief Operating Officer	100%
Vice Presidents	50%

If goals are not attained, bonuses are reduced proportionally. Additionally, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors may increase or decrease individual bonuses based on qualitative factors.

Determination as to whether or not the performance targets have been met is made quarterly. The payout of bonuses occurred in the first quarter of 2005.

Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders Pixelworks, Inc.:

We consent to incorporation by reference in the Registration Statements on Form S-8 (Nos. 333-121274, 333-89394, 333-62000, 333-41720 and 333-41722) and Form S-3 (Nos. 333-118100, 333-67838 and 333-100548) of Pixelworks, Inc. of our reports dated March 15, 2005, relating to the consolidated balance sheets of Pixelworks, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2004 and 2003, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2004, management's assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2004, which reports appear in the December 31, 2004 annual report on Form 10-K of Pixelworks, Inc.

Our report dated March 15, 2005, on management's assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting and the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2004, expresses our opinion that Pixelworks, Inc. did not maintain effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2004 because of the effect of a material weakness on the achievement of the objectives of the control criteria and contains an explanatory paragraph that states that management identified a material weakness in internal control over financial reporting which resulted from the inadequate design of internal control related to management's review of the Company's accounting for income taxes and related disclosures.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Portland, Oregon March 15, 2005

CERTIFICATION

I, Allen H. Alley, certify that:

Date: March 15, 2005

- 1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Pixelworks, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Allen H. Alley

Allen H. Alley Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION

I, Jeffrey B. Bouchard, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Pixelworks, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the
 - c. reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - d. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - e. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 15, 2005

Jeffrey B. Bouchard Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO

18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Annual Report of Pixelworks, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Allen H. Alley, Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- 1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- 2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

By: /s/ Allen H. Alley

Allen H. Alley Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: March 15, 2005

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO

18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Annual Report of Pixelworks, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Jeffrey B. Bouchard, Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- 1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- 2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

By: /s/ Jeffrey B. Bouchard

Jeffrey B. Bouchard Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer

Date: March 15, 2005